
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2017

or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

for the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number No. 333-183118

FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP.

Pennsylvania
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation)

20-8420347
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2 West Liberty Boulevard, Suite 104
Malvern, Pennsylvania
(Address of principal executive offices)

19355
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (877) 533-4420

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		Emerging growth company	<input type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Title of Each Class
Common Stock, \$1.00 Par Value

Number of Shares Outstanding as of May 9, 2017
6,540,519 Outstanding Shares

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)	2
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	52
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	52
 <u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	53
Item 1A. Risk Factors	53
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	53
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	53
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	53
Item 5. Other Information	53
Item 6. Exhibits	54

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, and as such, statements containing the words “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “plans,” “projects,” “predicts,” “intends,” “seeks,” “will,” “may,” “should,” “would,” “continues,” “hope” and similar expressions, or the negative of these terms, constitute forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Such statements are based on current expectations and are subject to risks, uncertainties and changes in condition, significance, value, and effect. Such risks, uncertainties and changes in condition, significance, value and effect could cause First Priority Financial Corp.’s actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

Although the Company believes its plans, intentions, and expectations as reflected in or suggested by these forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that its plans, intentions, or expectations will be achieved. Accordingly, you should not place undue reliance on them. Listed below, and discussed elsewhere, are some important risks, uncertainties, and contingencies that could cause actual results, performances, or achievements to be materially different from the forward-looking statements made in this document. These factors, risks, uncertainties, and contingencies include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the regional and local economies in which First Priority conducts operations;
- the effects of changing economic conditions in First Priority’s market areas and nationally;
- the effects of, and changes in, trade, monetary, and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System;
- changes in federal and state banking, insurance, and investment laws and regulations which could impact First Priority’s operations;
- inflation, interest rate, market, and monetary fluctuations;
- First Priority’s timely development of competitive new products and services in a changing environment and the acceptance of such products and services by customers;
- the impact of changes in financial services policies, laws, and regulations, including laws, regulations, policies, and practices concerning taxes, banking, capital, liquidity, proper accounting treatment, securities, and insurance, and the application thereof by regulatory bodies and the impact of changes in and interpretations of generally accepted accounting principles;
- the occurrence of adverse changes in the securities markets;
- the effects of changes in technology or in consumer spending and savings habits;
- terrorist attacks in the United States or upon United States interests abroad, or armed conflicts involving the United States military;
- security breaches and other disruptions could compromise our information and expose us to liability, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer;
- regulatory or judicial proceedings;
- changes in asset quality; and
- First Priority’s success in managing the risks involved in the foregoing.

The effects of these factors are difficult to predict. New factors emerge from time to time, and we are not able to assess the impact of any such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Any forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this document.

Because these forward-looking statements are subject to assumptions and uncertainties, actual results may differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these statements, which speak only as of the date of this quarterly report or the date of any document incorporated by reference in this quarterly report.

PART I
Item 1. Financial Statements.
First Priority Financial Corp.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited, in thousands, except share and per share data)

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 5,350	\$ 2,790
Interest-bearing deposits in banks	39,256	1,971
Total cash and cash equivalents	44,606	4,761
Securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: \$36,684 and \$70,635, respectively)	36,780	70,560
Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: \$19,495 and \$19,584, respectively)	18,923	19,043
Loans receivable	487,781	488,243
Less: allowance for loan losses	3,332	3,330
Net loans	484,449	484,913
Restricted investments in bank stocks	3,505	3,257
Premises and equipment, net	1,701	1,755
Bank owned life insurance	3,273	3,256
Accrued interest receivable	1,760	1,817
Other real estate owned	662	1,486
Deferred taxes	2,302	2,697
Goodwill	2,725	2,725
Intangible assets with finite lives, net	217	235
Other assets	1,694	1,290
Total Assets	\$ 602,597	\$ 597,795
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 61,294	\$ 54,817
Interest-bearing	406,677	412,871
Total deposits	467,971	467,688
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances	74,364	68,164
Subordinated debt	9,213	9,207
Accrued interest payable	594	510
Other liabilities	1,539	4,180
Total Liabilities	553,681	549,749
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, Series C, 9%, \$100 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares:		
liquidation value: \$1,000 per share, 3,404 shares issued and outstanding;		
liquidation value: \$3,404 as of each date presented.	3,404	3,404
Common stock, \$1 par value; authorized 20,000,000 shares		
issued and outstanding: 2017: 6,540,919; 2016: 6,529,719	6,541	6,530
Surplus	40,673	40,629
Accumulated deficit	(1,770)	(2,475)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	68	(42)
Total Shareholders' Equity	48,916	48,046
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 602,597	\$ 597,795

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(Unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Interest and Dividend Income		
Loans receivable, including fees	\$ 5,293	\$ 4,560
Securities—taxable	268	301
Securities—exempt from federal taxes	137	147
Interest bearing deposits and other	42	28
Total Interest and Dividend Income	5,740	5,036
Interest Expense		
Deposits	1,000	848
Short-term borrowings	58	25
Long-term debt	35	41
Subordinated debt	172	172
Total Interest Expense	1,265	1,086
Net Interest Income	4,475	3,950
Provision for Loan Losses	10	110
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	4,465	3,840
Non-Interest Income		
Wealth management fee income	39	69
Gains on sales of investment securities	25	235
Bank owned life insurance income	18	20
Other	96	85
Total Non-Interest Income	178	409
Non-Interest Expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	2,026	1,981
Occupancy and equipment	455	543
Data processing equipment and operations	228	217
Professional fees	172	136
Marketing, advertising, and business development	40	44
FDIC insurance assessments	146	57
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	90	83
Other real estate owned	32	85
Other	309	331
Total Non-Interest Expenses	3,498	3,477
Income before Income Tax Expense	1,145	772
Income Tax Expense	363	241
Net Income	\$ 782	\$ 531
Preferred dividends	77	177
Income to Common Shareholders	\$ 705	\$ 354
Income per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05
Diluted	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	6,534	6,496
Diluted	6,705	6,529

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(Unaudited, in thousands)

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 782	\$ 531
Other comprehensive income:		
Securities available for sale:		
Change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale	196	535
Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income	(25)	(235)
Tax effect	(58)	(102)
Net unrealized gain arising during the period	113	198
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred between available for sale and held to maturity:		
Amortization of net unrealized holding losses to income during the period	(5)	(15)
Tax effect	2	5
Net unrealized holding losses on securities transferred during the period	(3)	(10)
Total other comprehensive income	110	188
Total comprehensive income	<u>\$ 892</u>	<u>\$ 719</u>

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017 and 2016
(Unaudited, dollars in thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Surplus	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)	Total
Balance – December 31, 2015	\$ 9,404	\$ 6,492	\$ 40,327	\$ (4,368)	\$ 236	\$ 52,091
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(177)	—	(177)
Redemption of preferred stock	(6,000)	—	—	—	—	(6,000)
Issuance of 9,150 shares of restricted common stock, net of forfeitures	—	9	(9)	—	—	—
Net Income	—	—	—	531	—	531
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	188	188
Stock based compensation expense	—	—	93	—	—	93
Balance—March 31, 2016	<u>\$ 3,404</u>	<u>\$ 6,501</u>	<u>\$ 40,411</u>	<u>\$ (4,014)</u>	<u>\$ 424</u>	<u>\$ 46,726</u>
Balance – December 31, 2016	\$ 3,404	\$ 6,530	\$ 40,629	\$ (2,475)	\$ (42)	\$ 48,046
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	(77)	—	(77)
Issuance of 11,200 shares of restricted common stock, net of forfeitures	—	11	(11)	—	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	782	—	782
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	110	110
Stock based compensation expense	—	—	55	—	—	55
Balance—March 31, 2017	<u>\$ 3,404</u>	<u>\$ 6,541</u>	<u>\$ 40,673</u>	<u>\$ (1,770)</u>	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ 48,916</u>

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited, dollars in thousands)

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 782	\$ 531
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	10	110
Write down of other real estate owned	11	—
Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment	69	84
Net amortization	97	45
Stock based compensation expense	55	93
Net amortization of investment securities premiums and discounts	34	47
Net gain on sale of investment securities	(25)	(235)
Net gain on sale of other real estate owned	(47)	—
Net loss on disposal of premises and equipment	1	28
Bank owned life insurance policy income	(18)	(20)
Deferred income tax expense	338	206
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	57	68
Increase in other assets	(404)	(6)
Decrease in accrued interest payable	84	46
Decrease in other liabilities	(2,641)	(370)
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities	(1,597)	627
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Net repayments in loans	376	136
Purchases of securities available for sale	(7,176)	—
(Purchase) Redemption of restricted stock	(248)	1,121
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities available for sale	40,703	51,781
Proceeds from maturities or calls of securities held to maturity	95	410
Proceeds from the sale of securities available for sale	436	4,063
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	860	—
Purchases of premises and equipment	(16)	(26)
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	35,030	57,485
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Net increase in deposits	289	727
Net (decrease) increase in short-term borrowings	6,200	(29,850)
Redemption of preferred stock	—	(6,000)
Payments regarding subordination debt issuance costs	—	(16)
Cash dividends paid on preferred stock	(77)	(196)
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	6,412	(35,335)
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	39,845	22,777
Cash and Cash Equivalents—Beginning	4,761	5,909
Cash and Cash Equivalents—Ending	\$ 44,606	\$ 28,686
Supplementary Disclosures of Cash Flows Information		
Noncash activity:		
Trade date accounting for investment securities purchases	\$ 321	\$ —
Transfer of loans receivable to other real estate owned	\$ —	\$ 342
Cash paid for interest on deposits and borrowings	\$ 1,187	\$ 1,072
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 25	\$ 35

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

First Priority Financial Corp.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Nature of Operations

First Priority Financial Corp.

First Priority Financial Corp. (“First Priority,” the “Company”) is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on February 13, 2007. On May 11, 2007, as a result of a reorganization and merger, First Priority Bank (the “Bank”) became a wholly-owned subsidiary of First Priority. First Priority, primarily through the Bank, serves residents and businesses in the Delaware Valley with branches in Berks, Bucks, Chester and Montgomery counties in Pennsylvania. The Bank, is headquartered in Malvern, PA, has seven retail branch office locations and one loan production office and is a locally managed community bank providing commercial banking products, primarily loans and deposits.

First Priority provides banking services through the Bank and does not engage in any activities other than banking and related activities. As of March 31, 2017, First Priority had total assets of \$602.6 million and total shareholders’ equity of \$48.9 million.

First Priority Bank

The Bank is a state-chartered commercial banking institution which was incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania on May 25, 2005. The Bank’s deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the maximum amount permitted for all banks.

The Bank engages in a full service commercial and consumer banking business with strong private banking and individual wealth management services. The Bank offers a variety of consumer, private banking and commercial loans, mortgage products and commercial real estate financing. The Company’s operations are significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, competition, and the monetary, fiscal, and regulatory policies of governmental agencies. Lending activities are influenced by a number of factors, including the general credit needs of individuals and small and medium-sized businesses in the Company’s market area, competition, the current regulatory environment, the level of interest rates, and the availability of funds. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates of interest, competition, account maturities, and the level of personal income and savings in the market area.

The Bank also offers certain financial planning and investment management services. These investment services are provided by First Priority Financial Services, a Division of First Priority Bank, through third party providers. In addition, the Bank has entered into solicitation agreements with several investment advisors to provide portfolio management services to customers of the Bank.

The Bank currently seeks deposits and commercial and private banking relationships through its banking offices. The Bank provides deposit products that include checking, money market and savings accounts, and certificates of deposit as well as other deposit services, including cash management, electronic banking and mobile products as well as online account opening capabilities. The Bank obtains funding in the local community by providing excellent service and competitive rates to its customers and utilizes various advertising to attract current and potential deposit customers. The Bank also uses brokered certificates of deposit as a cost effective funding alternative.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements consist of the Company and the Company’s wholly owned consolidated subsidiary, the Bank. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These statements are prepared in accordance with instructions to Form 10-Q, and therefore, do not include information or all footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”). However, all normal recurring adjustments that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of these financial statements have been included. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for First Priority Financial Corp. for the year ended December 31, 2016, included in the Company’s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 23, 2017. The results of interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2017.

Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to March 31, 2017 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these Consolidated Financial Statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these financial statements were issued.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, stock-based compensation, impairment of goodwill, impairment of investments, the valuation of deferred tax assets and the valuation of other real estate owned.

Acquired Loans

Loans acquired as part of a full bank acquisition are initially recorded at their acquisition date fair values. The carryover of allowance for loan losses is prohibited as any credit losses in the loans are included in the determination of the fair value of the loans at the acquisition date. Fair values for acquired loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves assumptions and judgments as to credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate.

Acquired loans that have evidence of deterioration in credit quality since origination and for which it is probable, at acquisition, that the Company will be unable to collect all contractually required payments are accounted for as impaired loans under ASC 310-30. The excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the estimated fair value is referred to as the accretable discount and is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans. The difference between contractually required payments at acquisition and the cash flows expected to be collected at acquisition is referred to as the non-accretable discount. The non-accretable discount represents estimated future credit losses expected to be incurred over the life of the loan. Subsequent decreases to the expected cash flows require the Company to evaluate the need for an allowance for loan losses on these loans. Subsequent improvements in expected cash flows result in the reversal of a corresponding amount of the non-accretable discount which the Company then reclassifies as an accretable discount that is recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans using the interest method. As of March 31, 2017 there were three remaining acquired purchased credit impaired loans with a net recorded balance of \$214 thousand, after a non-accretable credit discount of \$362 thousand.

Acquired loans that met the criteria for non-accrual of interest prior to acquisition may be considered performing upon acquisition, or in the future, regardless of whether the customer is contractually delinquent, if the Company can reasonably estimate the timing and amount of the expected cash flows on such loans and if the Company expects to fully collect the new carrying value of the loans. As such, the Company may no longer consider the loan to be non-accrual or non-performing and may accrue interest on these loans, including the impact of any accretable discount. For acquired loans that are not deemed impaired at acquisition, credit discounts representing the principal losses expected over the life of the loan are a component of the initial fair value and amortized over the life of the asset. Subsequent to the acquisition date, the methods utilized to estimate the required allowance for loan losses for these loans is similar to originated loans, however, the Company records a provision for loan losses only when the required allowance exceeds any remaining pooled discounts for loans evaluated collectively for impairment. As of March 31, 2017, the net recorded balance of acquired loans resulting from the Affinity merger totaled \$14.2 million.

The Company also purchased portfolios of performing residential mortgage loans in 2014 through 2016, all of which and were underwritten using similar underwriting standards as it uses for its organic portfolio. In August 2016, the Company purchased performing commercial loans from within the Bank's market area. All acquisition premiums from the purchased loans will be recognized into interest income over the remaining life of the loans.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for credit losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments, totaling \$35 thousand as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, represents management's estimate of losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, and decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. All, or part, of the principal balance of loans receivable are charged off to the allowance as soon as it is determined that the repayment of all, or part, of the principal balance is highly unlikely. Because all identified losses are immediately charged off, no portion of the allowance for loan losses is restricted to any individual loan or groups of loans, and the entire allowance is available to absorb any and all loan losses.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management performs a quarterly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance. The allowance is based on the Company's past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, composition of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For loans that are classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include:

1. Lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices.
2. National, regional, and local economic and business conditions as well as the condition of various market segments, including the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans.
3. Nature and volume of the portfolio and terms of loans.
4. Management team with experience, depth, and knowledge in banking and in many areas of lending. Each contributes to the sound credit culture and control within the Company.
5. Volume and severity of past due, classified and nonaccrual loans as well as other loan modifications.
6. The Company engages a third party to perform an independent review of the loan portfolio as a measure for quality and consistency in credit evaluation and credit decisions.
7. Existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.
8. Effect of external factors, such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

A majority of the Company's loans are to business owners of many types. The Company makes commercial loans for real estate development and other business purposes required by our customers.

The Company's credit policies determine advance rates against the different forms of collateral that can be pledged against commercial loans. Typically, the majority of loans will be limited to a percentage of their underlying collateral values such as real estate values, equipment, eligible accounts receivable and inventory. Individual loan advance rates may be higher or lower depending upon the financial strength of the borrower and/or term of the loan. The assets financed through commercial loans are used within the business for its ongoing operation. Repayment of these kinds of loans generally comes from the cash flow of the business or the ongoing conversions of assets. Commercial real estate loans include long-term loans financing commercial properties. Repayment of this kind of loan is dependent upon either the ongoing cash flow of the borrowing entity or the resale of or lease of the subject property. Commercial real estate loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80% and vary in terms.

Residential mortgages and home equity loans are secured by the borrower's residential real estate in either a first or second lien position. Residential mortgages and home equity loans have varying loan rates depending on the financial condition of the borrower and the loan to value ratio. Residential mortgages have amortizations up to 30 years and home equity loans have maturities of no more than 15 years. Residential mortgages and home equity loans typically require a loan to value ratio of not greater than 80%.

Other consumer loans include installment loans, car loans, and overdraft lines of credit. The majority of these loans are secured.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case by case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. An allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of substantially all of the Company's impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan's collateral.

For commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the property. Appraised values may be discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial and industrial loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower's financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging or equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into risk rating categories. The borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors and value of collateral, if appropriate, are evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments, for commercial and consumer loans. Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans criticized special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Loans not classified are rated pass.

In addition, Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses and may require the Company to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management's comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Comprehensive Income

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities are reported as a separate component of the shareholders' equity section of the balance sheet, such items, along with net income, are components of total comprehensive income.

Total reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive income for the periods presented are as follows:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Amounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income For the three months ended March 31,		Affected Line Item in the Statement where Net Income is Presented
	2017	2016	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Sale of investment securities available for sale	\$ (25)	\$ (235)	Gains on sale of investment securities
Amortization of unrealized holding losses on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	(5)	(15)	Interest and Dividend Income on taxable securities
Tax effect	10	85	Income Tax Expense
Total reclassification	<u>\$ (20)</u>	<u>\$ (165)</u>	

Accumulated other comprehensive income as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Net unrealized gain (losses) on available for sale securities	\$ 64	\$ (50)
Net unrealized holding gains on securities transferred from available for sale to held to maturity	4	8
Total	<u>\$ 68</u>	<u>\$ (42)</u>

Note 2—Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, deferred by ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update establish a comprehensive revenue recognition standard for virtually all industries under U.S. GAAP, including those that previously followed industry specific guidance such as the real estate, construction and software industries. The revenue standard's core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled. To accomplish this objective, the standard requires five basic steps: i) identify the contract with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. The amendments are effective for annual periods, and interim reporting periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. Management does not believe the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have a significant impact on the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements but will continue to evaluate.

In January 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-01, "Financial Instruments - Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" ("ASU 2016-01"). ASU 2016-01 changes current U.S. GAAP for public entities by requiring the following, among others: (1) equity securities, except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting, to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income; (2) the use of the exit price when measuring fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes; (3) an entity to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value; and (4) separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset on the balance sheet or notes to the financial statements. ASU 2016-01 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact the adoption of ASU 2016-01 will have on future financial statements and disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-02, *Leases*. From the lessee's perspective, the new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record a ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. Leases will be classified as either finance or operating, with classification affecting the pattern of expense recognition in the income statement for a lessee. From the lessor's perspective, the new standard requires a lessor to classify leases as either sales-type, finance or operating. A lease will be treated as a sale if it transfers all of the risks and rewards, as well as control of the underlying asset, to the lessee. If risks and rewards are conveyed without the transfer of control, the lease is treated as a financing. If the lessor doesn't convey risks and rewards or control, an operating lease results. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessees for capital and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the

beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. A modified retrospective transition approach is required for lessors for sales-type, direct financing, and operating leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements, with certain practical expedients available. The Company has eight leases related to its current office locations, all of which are classified as operating leases, which upon implementation of the new standard in January 2019, will result in both a right-of-use asset and a corresponding lease liability in its consolidated balance sheets currently estimated at approximately \$4.0 million. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, “Financial Instruments – Credit Losses (Topic 326).” The main objective of this Update is to provide financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date. The amendments in this Update replace the incurred loss impairment methodology in current GAAP with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. For public business entities, this ASU is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the impact of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, “Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments.” This ASU clarifies how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented in the statement of cash flows. This update addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing the existing diversity in practice. For public business entities this ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the impact of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements and disclosures.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, “Intangibles — Goodwill and Other (Topic 350).” This update intends to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill by eliminating Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. The amendments in this Update modify the concept of impairment from the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value to the condition that exists when the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value. For public business entities this ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods therein. Early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities, which amends the amortization period for certain purchased callable debt securities held at a premium, shortening such period to the earliest call date. The ASU is effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. For all other entities, the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. Earlier application is permitted for all entities, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the ASU in an interim period, any adjustments must be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. The Company does not expect the implementation of this standard to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of operations.

Note 3—Earnings Per Common Share

Diluted earnings per common share take into account the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock are exercised and converted into common stock. Proceeds assumed to have been received on such exercise or conversion, are assumed to be used to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock at the average market price during the period, as required by the “treasury stock method” of accounting for common stock equivalents. For purposes of calculating the basic and diluted earnings per share, the Company’s reported net income is adjusted for dividends on preferred stock to determine the net income to common shareholders. Securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings per common share in future periods that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive amounted to 161,529 shares as of March 31, 2017 and 463,560 shares as of March 31, 2016.

The calculations of basic and diluted earnings per common share are presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	(In thousands, except per share information)	
	2017	2016
Net income	\$ 782	\$ 531
Less: preferred stock dividends	(77)	(177)
Income to common shareholders	\$ 705	\$ 354
Average basic common shares outstanding	6,534	6,496
Effect of dilutive stock options	171	33
Average number of common shares used to calculate diluted earnings per common share	<u>6,705</u>	<u>6,529</u>
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05

The amount of preferred stock dividends related to each series of preferred stock are presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Preferred dividends:		
Preferred Series A	\$ —	\$ 77
Preferred Series B	—	4
Preferred Series C	77	96
Total preferred dividends	<u>\$ 77</u>	<u>\$ 177</u>

Note 4—Securities

Management determines the appropriate classification of debt securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date. Securities classified as available for sale are those securities that the Company intends to hold for an indefinite period of time, but not necessarily to maturity. Available for sale securities are carried at fair value.

Securities classified as held to maturity are those debt securities the Company has both the intent and ability to hold to maturity regardless of changes in market conditions, liquidity needs or changes in general economic conditions. These securities are carried at cost adjusted for the amortization of premium and accretion of discount, computed by a method which approximates the interest method over the terms of the securities.

The Company previously transferred investment securities from available for sale to held to maturity securities. Due to these transfers, securities classified as held to maturity have net unrealized holding gains, before taxes, totaling \$7 thousand as of March 31, 2017 and \$12 thousand as of December 31, 2016, which are being amortized over the remaining life of the related securities as an adjustment of yield in a manner consistent with the accretion of discount on the same transferred debt securities. This will have no impact on the Company's net income because the amortization of the unrealized holding loss reported in equity will offset the effect on the interest income of the accretion of the discount on these securities.

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and the fair value of the Company's investment securities available for sale and held to maturity are as follows for the periods presented:

March 31, 2017				
<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>	
(Dollars in thousands)				
Available For Sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,987	\$ 5	\$ (7)	\$ 5,985
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	10,330	117	(14)	10,433
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	18,146	52	(122)	18,076
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	162	—	(1)	161
Other debt securities	1,500	66	—	1,566
Money market mutual fund	559	—	—	559
Total investment securities available for sale	<u>\$ 36,684</u>	<u>\$ 240</u>	<u>\$ (144)</u>	<u>\$ 36,780</u>
Held To Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,441	\$ 587	\$ (43)	\$ 18,985
Other debt securities	482	28	—	510
Total investment securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 18,923</u>	<u>\$ 615</u>	<u>\$ (43)</u>	<u>\$ 19,495</u>

December 31, 2016				
<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>	
(Dollars in thousands)				
Available For Sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 45,984	\$ 8	\$ (5)	\$ 45,987
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	6,103	46	(10)	6,139
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	13,863	33	(176)	13,720
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	190	—	(1)	189
Other debt securities	1,500	30	—	1,530
Money market mutual fund	2,995	—	—	2,995
Total investment securities available for sale	<u>\$ 70,635</u>	<u>\$ 117</u>	<u>\$ (192)</u>	<u>\$ 70,560</u>
Held To Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,561	\$ 561	\$ (33)	\$ 19,089
Other debt securities	482	13	-	495
Total investment securities held to maturity	<u>\$ 19,043</u>	<u>\$ 574</u>	<u>\$ (33)</u>	<u>\$ 19,584</u>

Included in unrealized losses are market losses on securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for twelve months or more and those securities that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than twelve months. The table below details the aggregate unrealized losses and aggregate fair value of the underlying securities whose fair values are below their amortized cost at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

	As of March 31, 2017								
	Less than 12 Months			12 Months or longer			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Available for Sale:									
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 3,984	\$ (7)	4	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ 3,984	\$ (7)	4
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	933	(6)	2	868	(8)	1	1,801	(14)	3
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	13,037	(121)	9	39	(1)	1	13,076	(122)	10
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	161	(1)	2	—	—	—	161	(1)	2
Total Available for Sale	<u>\$ 18,115</u>	<u>\$ (135)</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>\$ 907</u>	<u>\$ (9)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>\$ 19,022</u>	<u>\$ (144)</u>	<u>19</u>
Held to Maturity:									
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 2,494	\$ (43)	7	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ 2,494	\$ (43)	7
Total Held to Maturity	<u>\$ 2,494</u>	<u>\$ (43)</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ 2,494</u>	<u>\$ (43)</u>	<u>7</u>

	As of December 31, 2016								
	Less than 12 Months			12 Months or longer			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
	(Dollars in thousands)								
Available for Sale:									
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 3,984	\$ (5)	4	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ 3,984	\$ (5)	4
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	—	—	—	872	(10)	1	872	(10)	1
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	10,667	(175)	11	39	(1)	1	10,706	(176)	12
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	159	(1)	1	—	—	—	159	(1)	1
Total Available for Sale	<u>\$ 14,810</u>	<u>\$ (181)</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>\$ 911</u>	<u>\$ (11)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>\$ 15,721</u>	<u>\$ (192)</u>	<u>18</u>
Held to Maturity:									
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 2,289	\$ (33)	7	\$ —	\$ —	—	\$ 2,289	\$ (33)	7
Total Held to Maturity	<u>\$ 2,289</u>	<u>\$ (33)</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ 2,289</u>	<u>\$ (33)</u>	<u>7</u>

As of March 31, 2017, management believes that the estimated fair value of the securities disclosed above is primarily dependent upon the movement in market interest rates, particularly given the minimal inherent credit risk associated with the issuers of these securities and that the unrealized losses in these portfolios are not the result of deteriorating credit within any investment category.

Securities issued by states and political subdivisions are all rated investment grade. Each holding is reviewed quarterly for impairment by management and our third party investment advisor. All mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations are issued by U.S. government sponsored agencies; there are no holdings of private label mortgage backed securities or securities backed by loans classified as “Alt-A” or “Subprime”.

Although the fair value will fluctuate as market interest rates move, management believes that these fair values will recover as the underlying portfolios mature. The Company evaluates a variety of factors in concluding whether securities are other-than-temporarily impaired. These factors include, but are not limited to, the type and purpose of the bond, the underlying rating of the bond issuer, and the presence of credit enhancements (i.e. state guarantees, municipal bond insurance, collateral requirements, etc.). The Company does

not intend to sell any of these securities and it is unlikely that it will be required to sell any of these securities before recovery. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss on individual securities, as of March 31, 2017 represents other than temporary impairment.

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 there were realized gains of \$25 thousand. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 there were realized gains of \$235 thousand.

Securities totaling \$52.8 million and \$42.0 million were pledged at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, to secure public fund deposits. In addition, securities pledged to secure borrowings by the Bank totaled \$40 thousand at both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

The amortized cost and fair value of securities as of March 31, 2017 by contractual maturity are shown below. Certain of these investment securities have call features which allow the issuer to call the security prior to its maturity date at the issuer's discretion.

	March 31, 2017 Available for Sale Securities		March 31, 2017 Held to Maturity	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Due within one year	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Due after one year through five years	6,863	6,853	705	699
Due after five years through ten years	1,500	1,566	1,076	1,099
Due after ten years	9,454	9,565	17,142	17,697
	17,817	17,984	18,923	19,495
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	162	161	—	—
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	18,146	18,076	—	—
Money market mutual fund	559	559	—	—
Total	\$ 36,684	\$ 36,780	\$ 18,923	\$ 19,495

Note 5—Loans Receivable and Related Allowance for Loan Losses

Loans receivable consist of the following at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commercial:		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 90,986	\$ 89,625
Commercial mortgage	225,663	223,315
Commercial construction	23,913	22,408
Total commercial	340,562	335,348
Residential mortgage loans	111,014	110,538
Consumer:		
Home equity lines of credit	21,740	24,669
Other consumer loans	14,398	17,514
Total consumer	36,138	42,183
Total loans	487,714	488,069
Allowance for loan losses	(3,332)	(3,330)
Net deferred loan cost	67	174
Total loans receivable, net	\$ 484,449	\$ 484,913

In August, 2016, the Company increased its loans outstanding through the acquisition of \$64.6 million, including acquisition premiums of \$197 thousand, of various types of performing commercial loans within the Bank's market area. Additionally, in June, 2016, the Company purchased \$12.7 million, including acquisition premiums of \$280 thousand, of performing residential real estate loans; all of which were underwritten using similar standards as the Bank uses for its organic portfolio.

The following tables summarize the activity in the allowance for loan losses by loan class for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016:

For the three months ended March 31, 2017						
Allowance for Loan Losses						
(Dollars in thousands)						
	Beginning Balance	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision for loan losses	Ending Balance	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 647	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 11	\$ 658	
Commercial mortgage	1,051	—	—	57	1,108	
Commercial construction	113	—	—	12	125	
Residential mortgage loans	452	—	—	(2)	450	
Home equity lines of credit	188	—	—	(23)	165	
Other consumer loans	97	(24)	16	—	89	
Unallocated	782	—	—	(45)	737	
Total loans	\$ 3,330	\$ (24)	\$ 16	\$ 10	\$ 3,332	

For the three months ended March 31, 2016						
Allowance for Loan Losses						
(Dollars in thousands)						
	Beginning Balance	Charge-offs	Recoveries	Provision for loan losses	Ending Balance	
Commercial and industrial	\$ 631	\$ —	\$ 17	\$ 24	\$ 672	
Commercial mortgage	831	(72)	—	60	819	
Commercial construction	56	—	—	11	67	
Residential mortgage loans	259	—	—	(11)	248	
Home equity lines of credit	167	—	5	(18)	154	
Other consumer loans	84	(75)	3	47	59	
Unallocated	767	—	—	(3)	764	
Total loans	\$ 2,795	\$ (147)	\$ 25	\$ 110	\$ 2,783	

The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment method by class of loans receivable along with the balance of loans receivable by class disaggregated on the basis of the Company's impairment methodology:

March 31, 2017						
	Allowance for Loan Losses			Loans Receivable		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Ending Balance	Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance	Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment
Commercial and industrial	\$ 658	\$ —	\$ 658	\$ 90,986	\$ 701	\$ 90,285
Commercial mortgage	1,108	—	1,108	225,663	6	225,657
Commercial construction	125	—	125	23,913	—	23,913
Residential mortgage loans	450	47	403	111,014	637	110,377
Home equity lines of credit	165	—	165	21,740	—	21,740
Other consumer loans	89	—	89	14,398	4	14,394
Unallocated	737	—	737	—	—	—
Total loans	\$ 3,332	\$ 47	\$ 3,285	\$ 487,714	\$ 1,348	\$ 486,366

December 31, 2016

	Allowance for Loan Losses			Loans Receivable		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
	Ending Balance	Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance	Ending Balance Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Ending Balance Collectively Evaluated for Impairment
Commercial and industrial	\$ 647	\$ —	\$ 647	\$ 89,625	\$ 705	\$ 88,920
Commercial mortgage	1,051	—	1,051	223,315	6	223,309
Commercial construction	113	—	113	22,408	—	22,408
Residential mortgage loans	452	47	405	110,538	637	109,901
Home equity lines of credit	188	—	188	24,669	14	24,655
Other consumer loans	97	—	97	17,514	51	17,463
Unallocated	782	—	782	—	—	—
Total loans	\$ 3,330	\$ 47	\$ 3,283	\$ 488,069	\$ 1,413	\$ 486,656

The following tables summarize information in regard to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 as well as for the three month periods ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively:

	March 31, 2017			December 31, 2016		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
	(Dollars in thousands)					
With no related allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 701	\$ 1,267	\$ —	\$ 705	\$ 1,268	\$ —
Commercial mortgage	6	57	—	6	57	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	—	14	16	—
Other consumer loans	4	4	—	51	80	—
With an allowance recorded:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial mortgage	—	—	—	—	—	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	637	637	47	637	637	47
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	—	—	—	—
Other consumer loans	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 701	\$ 1,267	\$ —	\$ 705	\$ 1,268	\$ —
Commercial mortgage	6	57	—	6	57	—
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	637	637	47	637	637	47
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	—	14	16	—
Other consumer loans	4	4	—	51	80	—
Total	\$ 1,348	\$ 1,965	\$ 47	\$ 1,413	\$ 2,058	\$ 47

Three months ended March 31,

	2017		2016	
	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
(Dollars in thousands)				
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 703	\$ —	\$ 975	\$ —
Commercial mortgage	6	—	1,447	14
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	—	—	31	—
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	96	1
Other consumer loans	4	—	181	2
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,103	\$ 2
Commercial mortgage	—	—	514	3
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	637	6	637	6
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	—	—
Other consumer loans	—	—	—	—
Total:				
Commercial and industrial	\$ 703	\$ —	\$ 2,078	\$ 2
Commercial mortgage	6	—	1,961	17
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—
Residential mortgage loans	637	6	668	6
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	96	1
Other consumer loans	4	—	181	2
Total	\$ 1,350	\$ 6	\$ 4,984	\$ 28

The following table presents non-accrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
(Dollars in thousands)		
Commercial and industrial	\$ 701	\$ 705
Commercial mortgage	6	6
Home equity lines of credit	—	14
Other consumer loans	4	51
Total loans	\$ 711	\$ 776

The Company's policy for interest income recognition on non-accrual loans is to recognize income under the cash basis when the loans are both current and the collateral on the loan is sufficient to cover the outstanding obligation to the Company. The Company will not recognize income if these factors do not exist. Interest that would have been accrued on non-accruing loans under the original terms but was not recognized as interest income totaled \$19 thousand and \$32 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Company's internal risk rating system as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	March 31, 2017				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard (Dollars in thousands)	Doubtful	Total
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 89,872	\$ —	\$ 1,114	\$ —	\$ 90,986
Commercial mortgage	224,026	—	1,637	—	225,663
Commercial construction	23,913	—	—	—	23,913
Residential mortgage loans	111,014	—	—	—	111,014
Consumer:					
Home equity lines of credit	21,740	—	—	—	21,740
Other consumer loans	14,394	—	4	—	14,398
Total	<u>\$ 484,959</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,755</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 487,714</u>

	December 31, 2016				
	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard (Dollars in thousands)	Doubtful	Total
Commercial:					
Commercial and industrial	\$ 88,503	\$ —	\$ 1,122	\$ —	\$ 89,625
Commercial mortgage	221,544	—	1,771	—	223,315
Commercial construction	22,408	—	—	—	22,408
Residential mortgage loans	110,538	—	—	—	110,538
Consumer:					
Home equity lines of credit	24,655	—	14	—	24,669
Other consumer loans	17,463	—	51	—	17,514
Total	<u>\$ 485,111</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 2,958</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 488,069</u>

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	March 31, 2017					
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due	Current	Total Loans Receivable
Commercial:						
Commercial and industrial	\$ 58	\$ 41	\$ 632	\$ 731	\$ 90,255	\$ 90,986
Commercial mortgage	—	—	6	6	225,657	225,663
Commercial construction	—	—	—	—	23,913	23,913
Residential mortgage loans	—	366	—	366	110,648	111,014
Consumer:						
Home equity lines of credit	—	—	—	—	21,740	21,740
Other consumer loans	13	—	4	17	14,381	14,398
Total	<u>\$ 71</u>	<u>\$ 407</u>	<u>\$ 642</u>	<u>\$ 1,120</u>	<u>\$ 486,594</u>	<u>\$ 487,714</u>

	December 31, 2016					Current	Total Loans Receivable
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days	Total Past Due			
Commercial:							
Commercial and industrial.....	\$ 293	\$ 60	\$ 632	\$ 985	\$ 88,640	\$ 89,625	
Commercial mortgage	—	—	6	6	223,309	223,315	
Commercial construction.....	—	—	—	—	22,408	22,408	
Residential mortgage loans.....	—	104	—	104	110,434	110,538	
Consumer:							
Home equity lines of credit.....	—	—	—	—	24,669	24,669	
Other consumer loans	17	—	4	21	17,493	17,514	
Total	\$ 310	\$ 164	\$ 642	\$ 1,116	\$ 486,953	\$ 488,069	

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, there were no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

The Company may grant a concession or modification for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's declining financial condition that it would not otherwise consider, resulting in a modified loan which is then identified as a troubled debt restructuring ("TDR"). The Company may modify loans through rate reductions, extensions of maturity, interest only payments, or payment modifications to better match the timing of cash flows due under the modified terms with the cash flows from the borrowers' operations. Loan modifications are intended to minimize the economic loss and to avoid foreclosure or repossession of the collateral. TDRs are considered impaired loans for purposes of calculating the Company's allowance for loan losses.

The Company identifies loans for potential restructure primarily through direct communication with the borrower and evaluation of the borrower's financial statements, revenue projections, tax returns, and credit reports. Even if the borrower is not presently in default, management will consider the likelihood that cash flow shortages, adverse economic conditions, and negative trends may result in a payment default in the near future.

The following tables summarize the balance of outstanding TDR's at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

	Number of Loans	Performing TDR's	Non-Performing TDR's	Total TDRs
(Dollars in thousands)				
March 31, 2017				
Residential mortgage loans	1	\$ 637	—	\$ 637
Total	1	\$ 637	\$ —	\$ 637
(Dollars in thousands)				
December 31, 2016				
Residential mortgage loans	1	\$ 637	—	\$ 637
Home equity lines of credit	1	—	14	14
Other consumer loans	1	—	20	20
Total	3	\$ 637	\$ 34	\$ 671

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 there were no TDR's which were subsequently in default and there were no commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose terms have been modified in TDR's.

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and March 31, 2016, and also for the year ended December 31, 2016 there were no new TDR's entered into.

The carrying amount of foreclosed residential real estate properties held was \$568 thousand as of March 31, 2017. There were no consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure as of March 31, 2017.

Note 6—Deposits

The components of deposits at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are as follows:

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 61,294	\$ 54,817
Demand, interest-bearing	51,118	57,168
Money market and savings accounts	112,078	113,655
Time, \$100 and over	59,040	45,311
Time, other	184,441	196,737
	<u>\$ 467,971</u>	<u>\$ 467,688</u>

Included in time, other at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are brokered deposits of \$117.0 million and \$138.8 million, respectively.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 aggregate time deposits which exceed the \$250 thousand FDIC insurance limit were \$12.1 million and \$8.0 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2017, the scheduled maturities of time deposits were as follows:

	(Dollars in thousands)
3/31/2018	\$ 119,369
3/31/2019	69,023
3/31/2020	25,575
3/31/2021	12,437
3/31/2022	17,037
Thereafter	40
	<u>\$ 243,481</u>

Note 7—Shareholders' Equity

The Company amended its articles of incorporation, effective May 4, 2016, to increase the number of authorized shares of its common stock, \$1.00 par value, from 10,000,000 shares to 20,000,000 shares. The amendment was approved by shareholders at the 2016 annual meeting of shareholders held on May 3, 2016.

As of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 the Company had 3,404 shares of 9%, fixed rate, Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock outstanding totaling \$3.4 million.

On January 22, 2016, First Priority redeemed \$6.0 million of its outstanding Preferred Stock for a total redemption price of \$1,016.75 per share which included accrued dividends. This redemption included all shares of outstanding Series A (4,579 shares; par value \$1,000) and Series B (229 shares; par value \$1,000); and approximately 25.9% of Series C (1,192 shares of 4,596 shares outstanding; par value \$1,000). The shares of Series C were selected for redemption on a pro rata basis. After the completion of these redemptions, 3,404 shares of Series C Preferred Stock are outstanding as of March 31, 2017.

The Preferred Stock has no maturity date and ranks senior to common stock with respect to dividends and upon liquidation, dissolution, or winding up. The Company may redeem the Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at its liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

Note 8—Stock Compensation Program

In 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Stock Compensation Program, which was amended at the Company's annual meeting on April 23, 2009 as the 2009 Stock Compensation Program (the "Program") and further amended effective March 18, 2010. The Program allows equity benefits to be awarded in the form of Incentive Stock Options, Compensatory Stock Options or Restricted Stock. The Program authorizes the Board of Directors to grant options up to an aggregate maximum of 1,207,957 shares to officers, other employees and directors of the Company, including 382,957 shares which were authorized for grant under this plan as a result of

the merger with Affinity. Only employees of the Company will be eligible to receive Incentive Stock Options and such grants are subject to the limitations under Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code.

All stock options granted under the Program fully vest in four years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control), excluding options issued in regards to the Company's Severance Plan which vest only upon change in control, and options terminate ten years from the date of the grant. The exercise price of the options granted is the fair value of a share of common stock at the time of the grant.

A summary of the Stock Option Plan is presented below as of March 31, 2017:

	Options to Purchase Common Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at beginning of period	955,060	\$ 6.35
Forfeited/cancelled during period	2,500	5.94
Expired	7,190	11.21
Outstanding at end of period (1)	945,370	6.31
Exercisable at end of period (1)	347,370	\$ 7.67

(1) Included in options outstanding and exercisable at March 31, 2017 are 100,000 organizer options, with an exercise price of \$10.00 per share and an expiration date of October 18, 2017, exchanged as part of the 2008 acquisition of Prestige Community Bank which were issued outside of the Program.

The weighted average remaining contractual lives of all outstanding stock options and exercisable options at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 were 5.63 years and 2.79 years, respectively, and 5.84 years and 2.98 years, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of all outstanding stock options based on the closing price was \$1.9 million as of March 31, 2017, including \$438 thousand related to currently exercisable options, and \$705 thousand as of December 31, 2016, including \$150 thousand related to exercisable options.

For option grants to individual employees, the Company assumes no forfeitures. For option grants made to a group of employees, a 10% forfeiture rate is typically assumed at the date of the grant, and compared to actual forfeitures on an annual basis.

Under the terms of the merger agreement with Affinity dated February 28, 2013, each Affinity option became fully vested and was exchanged for a grant of 0.9813 First Priority options, with an adjusted exercise price to reflect the exchange ratio and maintains the same expiration date as the original option. As of March 31, 2017, 38,270 of these options remain outstanding with an average exercise price of \$9.08 and a remaining contractual term of 3.04 years.

The dividend yield assumption is based on the Company's history and expectation of dividend payouts. Due to the Company's lack of sufficient historical exercise data and the limited period of time for which shares have been issued, the "simplified" method is used to determine the expected life of options, calculated as the average of the sum of the vesting term and original contractual term for all periods presented. The expected volatility percentage is based on the average expected volatility of similar public financial institutions in the Company's market area. The risk-free interest rates for periods within the contractual life of the awards are based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

As of March 31, 2017, there was \$206 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted after January 1, 2007, excluding those stock options issued in conjunction with the Company's severance plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.38 years. There was no tax benefit recognized related to this stock-based compensation. There are 355,000 stock options issued in connection with the termination of the previously executed change in control agreements and the adoption of the severance plan with \$576 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost which will only be recognized if a change in control occurs, based on the options which remain outstanding and are probable to vest at that time. During 2015, included in the options granted, there were 99,000 performance options issued to various employees. Certain performance criteria must be achieved over the performance period in order for the option to vest. Performance is analyzed on a quarterly basis to determine if the specific required performance is more likely than not to be achieved. Based on this evaluation, 55,000 performance options have been cancelled to date, leaving 44,000 outstanding as of March 31, 2017. These non-vested options may be further adjusted in the future.

Restricted Stock grants fully vest after a minimum of three years from the date of grant (or potentially earlier upon a change of control or retirement after the age of 66), subject to the recipient remaining an employee of the Company. Directors are also granted restricted stock as part of their compensation for their services on the Board of Directors, or related Committees, as approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board. Upon issuance of the shares, resale of the shares is restricted during the vesting period, during which the shares may not be sold, pledged, or otherwise disposed of. Prior to the vesting date and in the event the recipient terminates association with Company for any reasons other than death, disability or change of control, the recipient forfeits all rights to the shares that would otherwise be issued under the grant. Compensation expense related to restricted stock awards granted under the Plan is determined at the date of the award based on the estimated fair value of the shares and is amortized over the vesting period. As of March 31, 2017, there was \$378 thousand of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock, which will be amortized through June 28, 2020.

A summary of restricted stock award activity is presented below for the three months ended March 31, 2017:

	Shares
Outstanding unvested shares at beginning of period	89,450
Shares Granted during period	11,500
Shares Forfeited/cancelled during the period	(300)
Vested Shares during this period	—
Outstanding unvested shares at end of period.....	<u>100,650</u>

Note 9—Financial Instruments with Off-Balance Sheet Risk

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company’s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, outstanding commitments to extend credit consisting of total unfunded commitments under lines of credit were \$107.8 million and \$98.2 million, respectively. In addition, as of each of these dates, there were \$982 thousand and \$958 thousand of performance standby letters of credit outstanding, respectively, and \$1.7 million of financial standby letters of credit outstanding, at each respective date, issued on behalf of the Bank’s customers.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company also had deposit letters of credit totaling \$16.0 million at each respective date, issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (“FHLB”), as required to provide collateral on certain municipal deposits maintained at First Priority. These deposit letters of credit are secured by a blanket lien on selected mortgage loans within the Bank’s portfolio.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The Bank evaluates each customer’s credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management’s credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include residential or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Note 10—Regulatory Matters

The Dodd-Frank Act required the FRB to establish minimum consolidated capital requirements for bank holding companies that are as stringent as those required for insured depository subsidiaries. The federal banking agencies approved rules that implemented the Dodd-Frank requirements and certain other regulatory capital reforms which were designed to enhance such requirements and implement the revised standards of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, commonly referred to as Basel III. These rules (i) introduced a new capital ratio pursuant to the prompt corrective action provisions, the common equity tier 1 capital to risk rated assets ratio, (ii) increased the adequately capitalized and well capitalized thresholds for the Tier 1 risk based capital ratios to 6% and 8%

respectively, (iii) changed the treatment of certain capital components for determining Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital, and (iv) changed the risk weighting of certain assets and off balance sheet items in determining risk weighted assets.

Under the revised capital guidelines, the Bank's minimum capital to risk-adjusted assets requirements consist of a common equity tier 1 capital ratio of 4.5% (6.5% to be considered "well capitalized"); a tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0%, (8.0% to be considered "well capitalized"); and a total capital ratio of 8.0% (10.0% to be considered "well capitalized"). The Bank must also maintain a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of at least 4.0% (5.0% to be considered "well capitalized").

Under these rules, in order to avoid limitations on capital distributions (including dividend payments and certain discretionary bonus payments to executive officers), a banking organization must hold a capital conservation buffer comprised of common equity tier 1 capital above its minimum risk-based capital requirements in an amount greater than 2.5% of total risk-weighted assets. The capital contribution buffer requirements is being phased in over a three-year period beginning January 1, 2016 and was 0.625% in 2016 and is 1.25% during 2017. The capital buffer requirement, on a fully phased-in basis as of January 1, 2019, effectively raises the minimum required common equity Tier 1 capital ratio to 7.0% (5.125% in 2016 and 5.75% in 2017), the Tier 1 capital ratio to 8.5% (6.625% in 2016 and 7.25% in 2017), and the total capital ratio to 10.5% (8.625% in 2016 and 9.25% in 2017).

The Bank's capital amounts (dollars in thousands) and ratios at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are presented below:

	Actual		Minimum Capital Requirement		To be Well Capitalized under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
March 31, 2017						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 57,053	12.23 %	\$ 37,309	>8.0 %	\$ >46,637	>10.0 %
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	44,473	9.54	>27,982	>6.0	>37,309	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to risk-weighted assets)	44,473	9.54	>20,987	>4.5	>30,314	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	44,473	7.92	>22,451	>4.0	>28,063	>5.0
December 31, 2016						
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 55,900	12.07 %	\$ >37,064	>8.0 %	\$ >46,330	>10.0 %
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	43,328	9.35	>27,798	>6.0	>37,064	>8.0
Tier 1 common equity capital (to risk-weighted assets)	43,328	9.35	>20,848	>4.5	>30,114	>6.5
Tier 1 capital (to total assets)	43,328	7.87	>22,023	>4.0	>27,528	>5.0

First Priority's ability to pay cash dividends is dependent on receiving cash in the form of dividends from the Bank. However, certain restrictions exist regarding the ability of the Bank to transfer funds to First Priority in the form of cash dividends. All dividends are currently subject to prior approval of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities and the FDIC and are payable only from the undivided profits of the Bank, with the exception of an exemption enacted by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and Securities which allows the Bank to pay dividends related to the preferred stock originally issued under the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Troubled Asset Relief Program—Capital Purchase Program. Additionally, First Priority has met the requirement of obtaining prior approval from the Federal Reserve Bank on any payment of dividends, including dividends on the aforementioned preferred stock, when net income for the past four quarters is not sufficient to fund the dividend payments over that same period or when such payment would negatively impact capital adequacy of the Company.

Note 11—Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Bank's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period-end.

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 820—*Fair Value Measurements*, the fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in some instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are

based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument.

The fair value guidance provides a consistent definition of fair value, which focuses on exit price in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. If there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, a change in valuation technique or the use of multiple valuation techniques may be appropriate. In such instances, determining the price at which willing market participants would transact at the measurement date under current market conditions depends on the facts and circumstances and requires the use of significant judgment. The fair value is a reasonable point within the range that is most representative of fair value under current market conditions.

FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC Topic 820 are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity). Management utilizes inputs that it believes a market participant would use.

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are as follows:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets</u>	<u>(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs</u>	<u>(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs</u>
		(Dollars in thousands)		
As of March 31, 2017:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,985	\$ —	\$ 5,985	\$ —
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	10,433	—	10,433	—
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	18,076	—	18,076	—
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	161	—	161	—
Other debt securities	1,566	—	1,566	—
Money market mutual fund	559	559	—	—
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	<u>\$ 36,780</u>	<u>\$ 559</u>	<u>\$ 36,221</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
As of December 31, 2016:				
Investment securities available for sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 45,987	\$ —	\$ 45,987	\$ —
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	6,139	—	6,139	—
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	13,720	—	13,720	—
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	189	—	189	—
Other debt securities	1,530	—	1,530	—
Money market mutual fund	2,995	2,995	—	—
Total assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis	<u>\$ 70,560</u>	<u>\$ 2,995</u>	<u>\$ 67,565</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

For financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are as follows:

<u>Description</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>(Level 1) Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets</u>	<u>(Level 2) Significant Other Observable Inputs</u>	<u>(Level 3) Significant Unobservable Inputs</u>
		(Dollars in thousands)		
As of March 31, 2017:				
Impaired loans	\$ 1,222	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,222
Other real estate owned	10	—	—	10
Total assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis	<u>\$ 1,232</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,232</u>
As of December 31, 2016:				
Impaired loans	\$ 1,257	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,257
Other real estate owned	679	—	—	679
Total assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis	<u>\$ 1,936</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,936</u>

Management generally uses a discounted appraisal technique in valuing impaired assets, resulting in the discounting of the collateral values underlying each impaired asset. A discounted tax assessment rate has been applied for smaller assets to determine the discounted collateral value. All impaired loans are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate and/or business assets. Impaired loans are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment.

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at March 31, 2017 is included in the table below:

	Fair Value (Dollars in thousands)	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Estimated Ratings (Weighted Average) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,222	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments(2)	0%-25% (4.80%)
		Valuation of business assets used as collateral(1)	Valuation adjustments(2)	0%-80% (76.42%)
			Liquidation expenses	0%-23% (11.37%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 10	Appraisal of collateral(1)	Appraisal adjustments(2)	(43.48%)
			Liquidation expenses	(20.23%)

Quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements at December 31, 2016 is included in the table below:

	Fair Value (Dollars in thousands)	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Estimated Ratings (Weighted Average) (3)
Impaired loans	\$ 1,257	Appraisal of real estate collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments(2)	0%-25% (4.87%)
		Valuation of business assets used as collateral (1)	Valuation adjustments(2)	0%-80% (75.32%)
			Liquidation expenses	0%-10% (8.01%)
Other real estate owned	\$ 679	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments(2)	0% (0.00%)
			Liquidation expenses	5%-7% (6.94%)

- (1) Fair Value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which include Level 3 inputs that are not identifiable.
- (2) Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses.
- (3) The range and weighted average of qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated liquidation expenses are presented as a percent of the appraised value.

Valuation of real estate collateral may be discounted based on the age of the existing appraisal. Discounts are typically not taken for recent appraisals. Valuations related to business assets used as collateral are typically discounted more heavily due to the inherent level of uncertainty in determining the fair value of these types of assets. Liquidation costs relating to these assets are charged to expense.

Other real estate owned measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis consists of properties acquired as a result of accepting a deed in lieu of foreclosure, foreclosure or through other means related to collateral on Bank loans which resulted in a gain or loss recognized during the period. Costs relating to the development or improvement of assets are capitalized and costs relating to holding the property are charged to expense. As of March 31, 2017, the fair value of other real estate owned consists of balances of \$32 thousand, net of a valuation allowance of \$22 thousand. As of December 31, 2016, the fair value of other real estate owned consists of balances of \$1.2 million, net of a valuation allowance of \$520 thousand. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the property.

Real estate properties acquired through, or in lieu of, foreclosure are to be sold and are carried at fair value less estimated cost to sell. Fair value is based upon independent market prices or appraised value of the property. These assets are included in Level 3 fair value based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Company since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Company's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Company's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Company's financial instruments at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016:

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair values.

Securities

The fair value of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices.

Loans Receivable

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate-risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments and prepayments of principal.

Impaired Loans

Impaired loans, which are included in loans receivable, are those that are accounted for under FASB ASC Topic 310, "Receivables", in which the Company has measured impairment generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value of the impaired loans consists of the loan balances, net of any valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2017 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan balances with an allowance recorded of \$637 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$47 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$737 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$105 thousand. As of December 31, 2016 the fair value of impaired loans consisted of loan balances with an allowance recorded of \$637 thousand, net of valuation allowances of \$46 thousand; and loan balances with no related allowance recorded of \$862 thousand, net of partial charge-offs of \$196 thousand.

Restricted Investment in Bank Stock

The carrying amount of restricted investment in bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates fair value.

Deposit Liabilities

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (interest and noninterest checking), money market and savings accounts are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered in the market to the maturities of the time deposits.

Short-Term Borrowings

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

Long-Term Debt

Fair values of FHLB advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Subordinated Debt

The fair values of subordinated debt has been estimated using discounted cash flow calculation taking into account contractual maturities, call features, and market interest rates for instruments with similar financial and credit characteristics. These instruments are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments

Fair values for the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	March 31, 2017		
			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
(Dollars in thousands)					
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,606	\$ 44,606	\$ 44,606	\$ —	\$ —
Securities available for sale	36,780	36,780	559	36,221	—
Securities held to maturity	18,923	19,495	—	19,495	—
Loans receivable, net	484,449	489,564	—	—	489,564
Restricted stock	3,505	3,505	—	3,505	—
Accrued interest receivable	1,760	1,760	—	1,760	—
Liabilities:					
Deposits	467,971	467,777	—	467,777	—
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances	74,364	74,349	—	74,349	—
Subordinated debt	9,213	9,312	—	9,312	—
Accrued interest payable	594	594	—	594	—
Off-balance sheet credit related instruments:					
Commitments to extend credit	—	—	—	—	—

December 31, 2016

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1 (Dollars in thousands)	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,761	\$ 4,761	\$ 4,761	\$ —	\$ —
Securities available for sale	70,560	70,560	2,995	67,565	—
Securities held to maturity	19,043	19,584	—	19,584	—
Loans receivable, net	484,913	490,890	—	—	490,890
Restricted stock	3,257	3,257	—	3,257	—
Accrued interest receivable	1,817	1,817	—	1,817	—
Liabilities:					
Deposits	467,688	467,616	—	467,616	—
Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh advances	68,164	68,157	—	68,157	—
Subordinated debt	9,207	9,273	—	9,273	—
Accrued interest payable	510	510	—	510	—
Off-balance sheet credit related instruments:					
Commitments to extend credit	—	—	—	—	—

Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion summarizes First Priority’s results of operations and highlights material changes for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, and its financial condition as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. This discussion is intended to provide additional information about the significant changes in the results of operations presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for First Priority and its wholly owned subsidiary, First Priority Bank. First Priority’s consolidated financial condition and results of operations consist essentially of the Bank’s financial condition and results of operations. Current performance does not guarantee, and may not be indicative of, similar performance in the future.

You should read this discussion and analysis in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2017 included herein as well as with the audited consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2016, included in the Company’s Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, such as First Priority’s plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Therefore, this analysis should be read in conjunction with the “*Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements*” presented elsewhere in this document and the “*Risk Factors*” described in the Company’s Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2016.

Overview

The following table sets forth selected measures of First Priority’s financial position or performance for the dates or periods indicated.

	As of and for the three months ended	
	March 31,	
	2017	2016
Total revenue (1)	\$ 4,653	\$ 4,359
Net income	782	531
Total assets	602,597	511,695
Total loans receivable	487,781	408,505
Total deposits	467,971	409,383

(1) Total revenue equals net interest income plus non-interest income.

Like most financial institutions, First Priority derives the majority of its income from interest it receives on its interest-earning assets, such as loans and investments. First Priority’s primary source of funds for making these loans and investments is its deposits, on which it pays interest. Consequently, one of the key measures of First Priority’s success is its amount of net interest income, or the difference between the income on its average interest-earning assets and the expense on its average interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Another key measure is the spread between the yield First Priority earns on these average interest-earning assets and the rate it pays on its average interest-bearing liabilities, which is called its net interest spread.

There are risks inherent in all loans, and First Priority maintains an allowance for loan losses to absorb probable losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. This allowance is maintained by charging a provision for loan losses against operating earnings. A detailed discussion of this process, as well as several tables describing the allowance for loan losses is included herein.

In addition to earning interest on its loans and investments, First Priority earns income through other sources, such as fees and other charges to its banking customers and income from providing wealth management services, as well as net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets. The various components of non-interest income, as well as non-interest expense, are described in this section.

Critical Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

First Priority has adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and that are consistent with general practices within the banking industry in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements. First Priority’s significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the Company’s Form 10-K as of December 31, 2016, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by First Priority that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. First Priority considers these accounting policies to be critical accounting estimates. The judgment and assumptions used are based on historical experience and other factors, which First Priority believes to be reasonable

under the circumstances and have been reasonably consistent with prior results. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions made, actual results could differ from these estimates, which could have a material impact on the carrying values of its assets and liabilities and its results of operations. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to investment securities impairment evaluation, the valuation of acquired loans, the determination of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of other real estate owned, the analysis of potential impairment of goodwill, the valuation of deferred tax assets and accounting for stock-based compensation.

Investment Securities Impairment Evaluation. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. When a determination is made that an other-than-temporary impairment exists but the Company does not intend to sell the debt security and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell the debt security prior to its anticipated recovery, the other-than-temporary impairment is separated into (a) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected from the debt security (the credit loss) and (b) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. Management believes that there are no investment securities with other-than-temporary impairment for each of the reporting periods presented.

Acquired Loans. Fair values for acquired loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology that involves significant assumptions and judgments as to estimate of credit risk, prepayment risk, liquidity risk, default rates, loss severity, payment speeds, collateral values and discount rate.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date and is recorded as a reduction to loans.

Pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates in each category of loans and adjusted for qualitative factors. Management assigned each factor a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on its best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation. Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

See the *Allowance for Loan Losses* section related to Balance Sheet Review as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 for more information.

Other Real Estate Owned. Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Any write-down, at or prior to the dates the real estate is considered foreclosed, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell.

Goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired in accordance with the acquisition method of accounting. Goodwill is not amortized but is reviewed for potential impairment on an annual basis, or more often if events or circumstances indicate that there may be impairment.

Income Taxes. Deferred taxes are provided on the liability method whereby deferred tax assets ("DTA") are recognized for deductible temporary differences and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. The Company files a consolidated federal income tax return with the Bank.

If management makes a determination based on the available evidence that it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods a valuation allowance is calculated and recorded. These determinations are inherently subjective and dependent upon estimates and judgments concerning management's evaluation of both positive and negative evidence. The weight given to the potential effect of positive and negative evidence must be commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified.

When determining the need for a valuation allowance, the Company assessed the possible sources of taxable income available under tax law to realize a tax benefit for deductible temporary differences and carryforwards, as defined by Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 740-10-30-18. This guidance relates to when a valuation allowance on the DTA should be maintained, generally provides that the valuation allowance should be reversed, when in the judgment of management, it is more likely than not that the DTA will be realized. Management has determined that the DTA will be realized, under currently existing tax laws, and does not maintain a valuation allowance.

Stock Based Compensation. Compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions are recognized in the financial statements over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on management's assumptions. The value of restricted stock awards is determined based on the estimated fair value of the shares at the date of the award.

Results of Operations

Income Statement Review

First Priority's results of operations are affected by five major elements: (1) net interest income, or the difference between interest income earned on loans and investments and interest expense accrued on deposits and borrowed funds; (2) the provision for loan losses, or the amount added to the allowance for loan losses to provide reserves for inherent losses on loans; (3) non-interest income, consisting of income from wealth management services, fees and other charges to our banking customers, and net gains or losses realized from the sale of assets; (4) non-interest expense, which consists primarily of salaries, employee benefits and other operating expenses; and (5) income taxes, including deferred taxes, when applicable. Each of these major elements is reviewed in more detail in the following discussion.

Results of Operations Comparative Summary

Shown in the table below are the three month reported results of operations as well as the increase (decrease) for the respective periods.

	For the three months ended March 31,		Increase (decrease)	% Change
	2017	2016		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Net interest income	\$ 4,475	\$ 3,950	\$ 525	13.3%
Non-interest income	178	409	(231)	(56.5)%
Total Revenue	4,653	4,359	294	6.7%
Provision for loan losses	10	110	(100)	(90.9)%
Non-interest expenses	3,498	3,477	21	0.6%
Income before income tax expense	1,145	772	373	48.3%
Income tax expense	363	241	122	50.6%
Net Income	\$ 782	\$ 531	\$ 251	47.3%
Preferred dividends, including net amortization	77	177	(100)	(56.5)%
Net Income to common shareholders	\$ 705	\$ 354	\$ 351	99.2%
Income per common share:				
Basic	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	120.0%
Diluted	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.06	120.0%

Summary

For the three months ended March 31, 2017, First Priority's consolidated income to common shareholders totaled \$705 thousand, a 99.2% increase over \$354 thousand reported in the prior year. The improvement in income to common shareholders includes a reduction of \$100 thousand in preferred dividends when comparing these periods, resulting from the redemption of \$6 million of preferred stock in the first quarter of 2016. Earnings per share increased 120% in the current quarter to \$0.11 per basic and diluted

common share from \$0.05 per basic and diluted common share in the first quarter of 2016. Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2017 totaled \$782 thousand, an increase of \$251 thousand, or 47.3%, compared to \$531 thousand in the same quarter last year while income before income tax expense increased 48.3% to \$1.15 million compared to \$772 when comparing these same periods.

Net Interest Income

First Priority's primary source of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is determined by the average balances of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates earned and paid on these balances. The amount of net interest income recorded by First Priority is affected by the rate, mix and amount of growth of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the amount of interest-earning assets as compared to the amount of interest-bearing liabilities, and by changes in interest rates earned and interest rates paid on these assets and liabilities.

The following tables set forth, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, information related to First Priority's average balances, yields on average assets, and costs of average liabilities. Average balances are derived from the daily balances throughout the periods indicated and yields are derived by dividing income or expense by the average balance of the corresponding assets or liabilities. Average loans are stated net of deferred costs. The net dollar amounts and percentage changes of interest income and expense are presented for comparative purposes.

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,						Net Change in Interest Income / Expenses	
	Average Balance	2017 Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	Average Balance	2016 Interest Income/Expense	Yield/Rate	\$ Change	% Change
	(Dollars in thousands)						2017 vs. 2016	2017 vs. 2016
Interest-earning assets:								
Loans receivable	\$ 487,127	\$ 5,293	4.41%	\$ 407,491	\$ 4,560	4.50%	\$ 733	16.1%
Taxable investment securities	39,185	268	2.77%	53,892	301	2.24%	(33)	(11.0)%
Nontaxable investment securities	13,439	137	4.14%	14,412	147	4.10%	(10)	(6.8)%
Total investment securities	52,624	405	3.12%	68,304	448	2.64%	(43)	(9.6)%
Deposits with banks and other (1)	7,764	42	2.19%	4,092	28	2.74%	14	50.0%
Total interest earning assets	547,515	5,740	4.25%	479,887	5,036	4.22%	704	14.0%
Non-interest-earning assets (1)	17,927			18,971				
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 565,442			\$ 498,858				
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, interest-bearing	\$ 53,567	55	0.42%	\$ 40,907	26	0.25%	\$ 29	111.5%
Money market and savings	114,782	166	0.59%	92,565	102	0.44%	64	62.7%
Time deposits	238,733	779	1.32%	226,160	720	1.28%	59	8.2%
FHLB advances and other borrowings	37,753	93	1.00%	31,229	66	0.85%	27	40.9%
Subordinated debt	9,209	172	7.59%	9,192	172	7.51%	—	—
Total interest-bearing liabilities	454,044	1,265	1.13%	400,053	1,086	1.09%	179	16.5%
Non interest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand, non interest-bearing deposits	60,768			49,100				
Other liabilities	2,031			1,629				
Shareholders' equity	48,599			48,076				
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY								
	\$ 565,442			\$ 498,858				
Net interest income/rate spread		<u>\$ 4,475</u>	3.12%		<u>\$ 3,950</u>	3.13%	<u>\$ 525</u>	13.3%
Net interest margin			3.31%			3.31%		

- (1) Interest income includes dividends from restricted investments in bank stocks, average balance of these restricted stocks are included in non-interest-earning assets.

Net interest income can also be analyzed in terms of the impact of changing interest rates and changing volume as shown in the Changes in Net Interest Income table below which sets forth the effect which varying levels of average interest-earning assets, interest-bearing liabilities and the applicable yields and rates have had on changes in net interest income for the periods presented.

	Changes in Net Interest Income		
	For the Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2017 vs. 2016		
	Increase (Decrease)		
	Due to Change In		
	(Dollars in thousands)		
	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Net Change</u>
Interest income:			
Loans receivable	\$ 833	\$ (100)	\$ 733
Taxable investment securities	(93)	60	(33)
Nontaxable investment securities	(11)	1	(10)
Total investment securities	(104)	61	(43)
Deposits with banks and other	20	(6)	14
Total interest earning assets	749	(45)	704
Interest expense:			
Demand, interest-bearing	9	20	29
Money market and savings	27	37	64
Time deposits	37	22	59
FHLB advances and other borrowings	16	11	27
Total interest bearing liabilities	89	90	179
Change in net interest income	<u>\$ 660</u>	<u>\$ (135)</u>	<u>\$ 525</u>

For the three months ended March 31, 2017, net interest income increased \$525 thousand, or 13.3%, to \$4.48 million compared to \$3.95 million for the same period in 2016. Net interest margin remained flat at 3.31% for each of the first three months of 2017 and 2016. At the same time, net interest spread decreased 1 basis point from 3.13% for the first three months of 2016 to 3.12% for the same period in 2017. Overall, when comparing these periods, incremental growth of balances (volume) accounted for an increase of \$660 thousand while the change in our relative rate structure resulted in a decline in net interest income of \$135 thousand.

Average interest earning assets for the first three months of 2017 increased \$67.6 million, or 14.1%, including an increase in average loans of \$79.6 million, or 19.5%, offset by a decrease in average investment balances of \$15.7 million, or 23.0%, when compared to the prior year. This overall increased volume of average earning assets provided an additional \$749 thousand in interest income, including \$833 thousand specifically provided from incremental loan balances. The average yield on earning assets increased 3 basis points in the current period compared to the prior year three month period from 4.22% to 4.25%. The calculated change in interest income related to changing rates is impacted by both changes in comparable interest rates and by the weighting of those changes in conjunction with relative product mix structure. This total decrease of interest income related to rates was \$45 thousand when comparing these periods, with the largest decline related to loans as the average rate declined 9 basis points while also experiencing the most substantial increase in new balances.

Average interest bearing liabilities increased \$54.0 million, or 13.5%, in the first quarter of 2017 compared to the same period last year. During this time, interest bearing deposits increased \$47.5 million, or 13.2%, as interest bearing demand deposits increased \$12.7 million, money market and savings deposits increased \$22.2 million and time deposits increased \$12.6 million. At the same time, borrowed funds increased \$6.5 million. The incremental growth of interest bearing liabilities resulted in an increase of interest expense of \$89 thousand. At the same time, the average rate on interest bearing liabilities increased 4 basis points from 1.09% for the first three months of 2016 to 1.13% in the current year, which along with the change in mix of balances, accounted for an increase in interest expense of \$90 thousand due to the change in our relative rate structure.

Provision for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through provisions for loan losses charged against operations. Loans deemed to be uncollectible are charged against the allowance for loan losses, and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance as recoveries.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level considered adequate to provide for losses that can be reasonably anticipated. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based on known or potential risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect a borrower's ability to repay, the estimated value of any underlying collateral, the composition of the loan

portfolio, current economic conditions and other relevant factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions as more information becomes available or economic conditions change.

At the end of each quarter or more often, if necessary, First Priority analyzes the collectability of its loans and accordingly adjusts the loan loss allowance to an appropriate level. The allowance for loan losses covers estimated credit losses on individually evaluated loans that are determined to be impaired, as well as estimated credit losses inherent in the remainder of the loan portfolio. For a description of the process for determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, see the "Allowance for Loan Losses" section below.

The provision for loan losses was \$10 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017 compared to \$110 thousand for the same period in 2016. The ongoing level of provision is impacted by the adequacy of the allowance as described above, including an analysis of impaired and non-performing loans, as well as by the level of incremental loan volume and net charge-offs of loans. Total loans outstanding decreased \$462 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and declined \$648 thousand during the first quarter of 2016. Net loan charge-offs totaled \$8 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017 compared to \$122 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2016, while period end asset quality ratios generally improved from a year ago

Acquired loans are initially recorded at acquisition date at their acquisition date fair values, and, therefore, are excluded from the calculation of loan loss reserves as of the acquisition date. As acquired loans are paid off, refinanced or extended under First Priority's credit underwriting process, they are no longer considered acquired loans, but instead are prospectively considered originated loans and therefore are included as part of the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. Beginning in the third quarter of 2016, due to the immaterial remaining balances of purchased non-credit impaired loans, all loans are included in quarterly adequacy of the allowance as described above, excluding three remaining purchased credit impaired loans, which are analyzed separately. These loans consist of two commercial loans and one commercial real estate loan with a combined net recorded investment of \$214 thousand, net of combined non-amortizing specific reserves totaling \$362 thousand.

Non-Interest Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2017, non-interest income totaled \$178 thousand, compared to \$409 thousand, for the same period in 2016, respectively. As detailed in the table below, non-interest income is comprised of wealth management fees, which are principally non-recurring commissions and fees related to the sale of insurance products and annuities, service charges on deposit accounts, income resulting from the investment in bank owned life insurance, gains from the sale of investment securities, and other fees which the Bank collects from its banking customers. The most significant portion of the overall decline in non-interest income relates to gains on sale of investment securities which totaled \$25 thousand in the current quarter compared to \$235 thousand recorded in the first quarter of 2016, a decrease of \$210 thousand as reflected in the table below.

Components of non-interest income are shown in the table below:

	For the three months ended		Net Change	% Change
	March 31,			
	2017	2016	2017 vs. 2016	2017 vs. 2016
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Non-Interest Income				
Wealth management fee income	\$ 39	\$ 69	\$ (30)	(43.5) %
Service charges on deposits	36	34	2	5.9
Other branch fees	50	44	6	13.6
Loan related fees	9	6	3	50.0
Gains on sales of investment securities	25	235	(210)	(89.4)
Bank owned life insurance income	18	20	(2)	(10.0)
Other	1	1	—	—
Total Non-Interest Income	\$ 178	\$ 409	\$ (231)	(56.5) %

Non-Interest Expenses

For the three months ended March 31, 2017, non-interest expenses were \$3.50 million, compared to \$3.48 million in the same period in 2016, representing an increase of \$21 thousand, or 0.6%. The following table sets forth information related to the various components of non-interest expenses for each respective period.

	For the three months ended		Net Change	% Change
	2017	March 31, 2016		
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Non-Interest Expenses				
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 2,026	\$ 1,981	\$ 45	2.3 %
Occupancy and equipment	455	543	(88)	(16.2)
Data processing equipment and operations	228	217	11	5.1
Professional fees	172	136	36	26.5
Marketing, advertising and business development	40	44	(4)	(9.1)
FDIC insurance assessments	146	57	89	156.1
Pennsylvania bank shares tax expense	90	83	7	8.4
Other real estate owned costs	32	85	(53)	(62.4)
Other	309	331	(22)	(6.6)
Total Non-Interest Expenses	\$ 3,498	\$ 3,477	\$ 21	0.6 %

Highlights of significant non-interest expenses items for the first quarter 2017 compared to the first quarter 2016

- Salaries and employee benefits increased \$45 thousand, or 2.3%, in the three months ended March 31, 2017 compared to the first quarter of 2016. This increase primarily resulted from incremental staffing costs to enhance core deposit generation through expansion of our cash management sales and marketing efforts and incremental bonus expense accruals; partially offset by lower stock based compensation expenses.
- Occupancy and equipment costs decreased \$88 thousand, or 16.2%, comparing the current quarter with the same period in 2016. This decrease resulted from an acceleration of costs taken in the first quarter of 2016 and lower ongoing operating costs, both related to the closure of the Plumstead branch in 2016, as well as lower snow removal costs incurred in the current year.
- Professional fees increased \$36 thousand, or 26.5%, for the three months ended March 31, 2017 compared to the prior year, primarily related to the reimbursement of \$34 thousand, collected in the first quarter of 2016, in previously expensed legal costs, related to a problem loan.
- FDIC insurance assessments and the Pennsylvania bank shares tax expenses are primarily influenced by the increased asset size and equity levels of the Bank. The higher FDIC deposit insurance premiums are due to the Bank's increased level of total assets in combination with the implementation of a new FDIC insurance premium calculation in mid-2016, which includes a higher cost for increased levels of asset growth and brokered deposits.
- Net costs related to other real estate owned decreased \$53 thousand, or 62.4%, in the current quarter compared to the same period in 2016. When comparing these periods, acquisition costs were down \$19 thousand, ongoing operating and legal costs declined \$7 thousand and net gains from sale after valuation write downs increased \$36 thousand; which were partially offset by lower rental income of \$9 thousand.
- Other expenses decreased \$22 thousand, or 6.6%, in the current quarter compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2016.

Provision for Income Taxes

Income tax expense recorded in the three months ended March 31, 2017 totaled \$363 thousand compared to \$241 thousand for the three months ended 2016. The Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016 was 31.7% and 31.2%, respectively.

The Company's net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards totaled \$3.9 million as of March 31, 2017, including acquired NOLs. First Priority had NOL carryforwards of \$2.3 million at March 31, 2017, which expire in 2029 through 2034; such NOL carryforwards, however, are available prior to expiration to reduce future federal income taxes until such time as the entire NOL is utilized.

First Priority acquired a NOL for tax purposes related to the acquisition of Prestige Community Bank, which initially totaled \$2.0 million, with a remaining balance of \$1.1 million at March 31, 2017, which is subject to certain limitations and expires in 2028 if not fully utilized. In addition, an initial NOL carryforward balance of \$940 thousand was recorded resulting from the merger with Affinity Bancorp, Inc. ("Affinity"), of which \$615 thousand remains available to reduce future federal income taxes as of March 31, 2017. This NOL is also subject to certain limitations and expires in 2031 through 2032 if not fully utilized.

Financial Condition as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016

Balance Sheet Review

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	Net Change 2017 vs. 2016	% Change 2017 vs. 2016
(Dollars in thousands)				
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 44,606	\$ 4,761	\$ 39,845	836.9%
Investment securities	55,703	89,603	(33,900)	-37.8%
Loans	487,781	488,243	(462)	-0.1%
Total earning assets	588,090	582,607	5,483	0.9%
Allowance for loan losses	(3,332)	(3,330)	(2)	0.1%
Restricted investments in bank stocks	3,505	3,257	248	7.6%
Premises and equipment, net	1,701	1,755	(54)	-3.1%
Bank owned life insurance	3,273	3,256	17	0.5%
Other real estate owned	662	1,486	(824)	-55.5%
Deferred income tax assets, net	2,302	2,697	(395)	-14.6%
Goodwill and other identifiable intangibles	2,942	2,960	(18)	-0.6%
Other assets	3,454	3,107	347	11.2%
Total assets	<u>\$ 602,597</u>	<u>\$ 597,795</u>	<u>\$ 4,802</u>	<u>0.8%</u>
Liabilities				
Deposits	\$ 467,971	\$ 467,688	\$ 283	0.1%
Borrowed funds	83,577	77,371	6,206	8.0%
Other liabilities	2,133	4,690	(2,557)	-54.5%
Total liabilities	553,681	549,749	3,932	0.7%
Equity				
Total shareholders' equity	48,916	48,046	870	1.8%
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<u>\$ 602,597</u>	<u>\$ 597,795</u>	<u>\$ 4,802</u>	<u>0.8%</u>

Total assets at March 31, 2017 were \$602.6 million, representing an increase of \$4.8 million, or 0.8%, when compared to total assets of \$597.8 million at December 31, 2016. Total assets at March 31, 2017 consisted primarily of earning assets totaling \$588.1 million, including loans outstanding of \$487.8 million, investment securities of \$55.7 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$44.6 million. At December 31, 2016, total assets consisted primarily of earning assets totaling \$582.6 million, including of loans outstanding of \$488.2 million, investment securities of \$89.6 million and cash and cash equivalents of \$4.8 million.

Deposits totaled \$468.0 million at March 31, 2017 compared to \$467.7 million at December 31, 2016, an increase of \$283 thousand, or 0.1%. Of the total deposits at March 31, 2017, \$224.5 million, or 48.0% of total deposits, are core deposits consisting of demand, money market and savings deposits compared to core deposits of \$225.6 million at December 31, 2016.

Advances from Federal Home Bank of Pittsburgh totaled \$74.4 million at March 31, 2017 compared to \$68.2 million at December 31, 2016, an increase of \$6.2 million, or 9.1%, while subordinated debt remained flat at \$9.2 million when comparing these same periods.

Shareholders' equity at March 31, 2017 was \$48.9 million, representing an increase of \$870 thousand from \$48.0 million at December 31, 2016, primarily due to earnings recorded during the related period.

Investments

First Priority's total investment portfolio was \$55.7 million at March 31, 2017, compared to \$89.6 million at December 31, 2016, a decline of \$33.9 million, or 37.8%. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, the Company purchased \$9.6 million of additional investments and sold one state and municipal obligation with a book value of \$410 thousand in order to take advantage of favorable market conditions. Also, as of December 31, 2016, the investment portfolio included \$40 million of short-term investments, consisting of United States Treasury securities, which were purchased related to year-end tax planning strategies and subsequently matured in January of 2017. Other investment portfolio activity resulted in a decline in balances of \$3.1 million.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, investments totaling \$36.8 million and \$70.6 million, respectively, were classified as available for sale while \$18.9 million and \$19.0 million, respectively, were classified as held to maturity. Total investments accounted for 9.2% and 15.0% of total assets at each respective date.

Securities classified as available for sale are accounted for at fair value, with the difference between fair value and amortized cost reflected in other comprehensive income or loss. The Company had net unrealized gains on available for sale securities totaling \$96 thousand at March 31, 2017 compared to net unrealized losses of \$75 thousand at December 31, 2016. Available for sale securities are securities that management intends to hold for an indefinite period of time or securities that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment expectations, capital management and liquidity needs.

The total investment portfolio at March 31, 2017 was comprised of federal agency securities (11%), federal agency mortgage backed securities and federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations (33%), obligations of states and political subdivisions (52%), and corporate and other debt securities (4%). All investment securities were either government guaranteed, issued by a government agency or investment grade. First Priority had no investment securities deemed to have other than temporary impairment ("OTTI") at March 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016 and recorded no OTTI charges during either of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

The following table sets forth information about the contractual maturities and weighted average yields of investment securities at March 31, 2017. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities due to scheduled principal payments and unscheduled prepayments of mortgage backed securities and, where applicable, the ability of an issuer to call a security prior to the contractual maturity date.

Securities Available for Sale, at Fair Value										
As of March 31, 2017										
	Within 1 year		After one but		After five but within		Over ten years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
(Dollars in thousands)										
U.S. Government agency securities	\$ —	—	\$ 5,985	1.33%	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ 5,985	1.33%
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	—	—	868	1.67%	—	—	9,565	4.03%	10,433	3.83%
Federal agency mortgage backed securities	—	—	—	—	3,575	2.16%	14,501	2.12%	18,076	2.13%
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	—	—	—	—	146	1.41%	15	2.92%	161	1.54%
Other debt securities	—	—	—	—	1,566	5.50%	—	—	1,566	5.50%
Money market mutual fund	559	0.50%	—	—	—	—	—	—	559	0.50%
Total investments available for sale	\$ 559	0.50%	\$ 6,853	1.37%	\$ 5,287	3.13%	\$ 24,081	2.88%	\$ 36,780	2.58%

Securities Held to Maturity, at Amortized Cost										
As of March 31, 2017										
	Within 1 year		After one but		After five but within		Over ten years		Total	
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
(Dollars in thousands)										
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ —	—	\$ 705	4.58%	\$ 1,076	3.80%	\$ 16,660	4.34%	\$ 18,441	4.32%
Other debt securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	482	4.37%	482	4.37%
Total investments held to maturity	\$ —	—	\$ 705	4.58%	\$ 1,076	3.80%	\$ 17,142	4.34%	\$ 18,923	4.32%

The amortized cost and fair value of First Priority's investments, classified as available for sale or held to maturity, at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 are shown in the following table:

	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
(Dollars in thousands)				
Available For Sale:				
Obligations of U.S. government agencies and corporations	\$ 5,987	\$ 5,985	\$ 45,984	\$ 45,987
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	10,330	10,433	6,103	6,139
Federal agency mortgage-backed securities	18,146	18,076	13,863	13,720
Federal agency collateralized mortgage obligations	162	161	190	189
Other debt securities	1,500	1,566	1,500	1,530
Money market mutual fund	559	559	2,995	2,995
Total investments available for sale	\$ 36,684	\$ 36,780	\$ 70,635	\$ 70,560
Held To Maturity:				
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	\$ 18,441	\$ 18,985	\$ 18,561	\$ 19,089
Other debt securities	482	510	482	495
Total investments held to maturity	\$ 18,923	\$ 19,495	\$ 19,043	\$ 19,584

Restricted investments in bank stocks

Restricted investments in bank stocks represent the investment in the common stock of correspondent banks required in order to transact business with those banks. Investments in restricted stock are carried at cost.

At both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Bank held \$110 thousand in common stock of Atlantic Community Bancshares, Inc. (parent company of Atlantic Community Bankers Bank), Camp Hill, Pennsylvania. Additionally, First Priority had investments in the common stock of the FHLB Bank of Pittsburgh totaling \$3.40 million and \$3.15 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

Loans

First Priority's loan portfolio is the primary component of its assets. At March 31, 2017, total loans were \$487.8 million, representing a slight decrease of \$462 thousand, or 0.1%, from total loans outstanding of \$488.2 million at December 31, 2016. During the current quarter of 2017 new organic loan production totaled approximately \$18 million while the Company experienced approximately \$18 million in principal payments, unscheduled loan payoffs or net declines in lines of credit outstanding. The following table sets forth the classification of First Priority's loan portfolio at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016.

	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	Percent of total	Amount	Percent of total
Commercial & Industrial	\$ 90,986	19%	\$ 89,625	18%
Commercial Mortgage	225,663	46%	223,315	46%
Commercial Construction	23,913	5%	22,408	5%
Total Commercial	340,562	70%	335,348	69%
Residential Mortgage	111,014	23%	110,538	23%
Home Equity Lines	21,740	4%	24,669	5%
Other Consumer	14,398	3%	17,514	3%
Total Consumer	36,138	7%	42,183	8%
Total Loans	487,714	100%	488,069	100%
Net deferred loan costs	67	—	174	—
Total	<u>\$ 487,781</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>\$ 488,243</u>	<u>100%</u>

Commercial mortgage loans consist of loans originated for commercial purposes which are secured by nonfarm, nonresidential properties, multifamily residential properties, or 1-4 family residential properties. As of March 31, 2017, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$225.7 million, consisting of \$134.6 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 63% are owner occupied, \$15.6 million to finance, and are secured by, multifamily properties, \$58.0 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$17.5 million for other purposes. In addition, as of March 31, 2017, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$82.6 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$37.9 million, or 46%, of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

As of December 31, 2016, commercial mortgage loans totaled \$223.3 million, consisting of \$133.9 million of loans to finance commercial business properties, of which 63% are owner occupied, \$16.3 million to finance, and are secured by, multifamily properties, \$56.9 million secured by 1-4 family residential dwelling properties for business purposes, and \$16.2 million for other purposes. In addition, as of December 31, 2016, loans to lessors of non-residential buildings totaled \$80.9 million, which is included in commercial mortgage loans; of this amount, \$36.9 million, or 46%, of these loans are related to owner occupied buildings.

The payment experience of certain non-owner occupied commercial mortgage loans may be dependent upon the successful operation of the real estate project. These risks can be significantly affected by supply and demand conditions in the market for office and retail space and for apartments and, as such, may be subject to a greater extent to adverse conditions in the economy. In dealing with these risk factors, First Priority generally limits itself to a real estate market or to borrowers with which First Priority has experience. First Priority generally concentrates on originating commercial real estate loans secured by properties located within its market area, and many of First Priority's commercial real estate loans are secured by owner-occupied property with personal guarantees of the debt.

Regulatory guidance exists whereby total construction, land development and other land loans should not exceed 100% of total risk based capital and further guidance whereby total construction, land development and other land loans combined with real estate loans secured by multifamily or nonresidential properties and loans to finance commercial real estate or construction loans (not secured by real estate) should not exceed 300% of total risk-based capital. The Bank monitors these two ratios, which as of March 31, 2017, totaled 72% and 201% of total risk-based capital, respectively, both well within the regulatory suggested guidance.

Credit Quality

The Bank's written lending policies require specified underwriting, loan documentation and credit analysis standards to be met prior to funding, with additional credit department approval for the majority of new loan balances. The Loan Committee is comprised of senior members of management who oversee the loan approval process to monitor that proper standards are maintained.

The following table summarizes non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings at the dates indicated.

	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2017</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2016</u>
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest	\$ —	\$ —
Non-accrual loans	711	776
Total non-performing loans (1)	711	776
Other real estate owned	662	1,486
Total non-performing assets (2)	1,373	2,262
Performing troubled debt restructurings (3)	637	637
Total non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings	\$ 2,010	\$ 2,899
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans	0.15%	0.16%
Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets	0.23%	0.38%
Non-performing assets and performing troubled debt restructurings as a percentage of total assets	0.33%	0.49%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing loans at end of period	469%	429%
Ratio of allowance to non-performing assets at end of period	243%	147%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	0.68%	0.68%

- (1) Non-performing loans are comprised of (i) loans that have a non-accrual status; (ii) accruing loans that are 90 days or more past due and (iii) non-performing troubled debt restructured loans.
- (2) Non-performing assets are comprised of non-performing loans, other real estate owned (assets acquired in foreclosure) and repossessed assets.
- (3) Performing troubled debt restructurings are accruing loans that have been restructured in troubled debt restructurings and are in compliance with their modified terms.

Total non-performing loans were \$711 thousand at March 31, 2017, a decrease of \$65 thousand, or 8.4%, from \$776 thousand at December 31, 2016, primarily a result of three loans which were restored to accruing due to improved financial performance. There were no loans added to non-accrual status during the current quarter. Total non-performing loans as a percentage of total loans at March 31, 2017 was 0.15%, compared to 0.16% at December 31, 2016.

Other real estate owned totaled \$662 thousand at March 31, 2017 compared to \$1.5 million at December 31, 2016. During the first quarter of 2017, three properties with recorded values totaling \$813 thousand were liquidated which resulted in a combined gain from sales of \$48 thousand. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 there were no repossessed assets. Non-performing assets totaled \$1.4 million, or 0.23% of total assets, as of March 31, 2017, compared to \$2.3 million, or 0.38% of total assets, as of December 31, 2016.

While not considered non-performing, First Priority's performing troubled debt restructurings are closely monitored as they consist of loans that have been modified where the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. Troubled debt restructurings may be deemed to have a higher risk of loss than loans which have not been restructured. At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, First Priority had performing troubled debt restructurings totaling \$637 thousand as of each respective date.

The Bank's management continues to monitor and explore potential options and remedial actions to recover the Bank's investment in non-performing loans. According to its policy, the Bank is required to maintain a specific reserve for impaired loans. See the "Allowance for Loan Losses" section below for further information.

The Bank's total delinquency amount is comprised of loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing plus the balance of nonperforming loans. As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, loans past due 30 to 89 days and still accruing totaled \$420 thousand and \$474 thousand, respectively, which when added to the non-performing loans for each period, resulted in a total delinquency ratio of 0.23% and 0.24%, respectively, of total loans outstanding.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses represents an amount that First Priority believes will be adequate to absorb estimated credit losses on loans that may become impaired. While First Priority applies the methodology discussed below in connection with the establishment of the allowance for loan losses, the allowance is subject to critical judgments on the part of management. Risks within the loan portfolio are analyzed on a continuous basis by the management, periodically analyzed by an external independent loan review function, and are also reviewed by the audit committee. A risk system, consisting of multiple grading categories, is utilized as an analytical tool to assess risk and appropriate allowances. In addition to the risk system, management further evaluates the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio under current and anticipated economic conditions and considers such factors as the financial condition of the borrower, past and expected loss experience, and other factors which management believes deserve recognition in establishing an appropriate allowance. These estimates are reviewed at least quarterly, and, as adjustments become necessary, they are realized in the periods in which they become known.

First Priority uses a quantitative and qualitative method to allocate its allowance to the various loan categories. An unallocated component, which is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses, reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. Additions to the allowance are made by provisions charged to expense, and the allowance is reduced by net charge-offs, which are loans judged to be uncollectible, less any recoveries on loans previously charged off. Although management attempts to maintain the allowance at an adequate level, future additions to the allowance may be required due to the growth of the loan portfolio, changes in asset quality, changes in market conditions and other factors. Additionally, various bank regulatory agencies periodically review the allowance for loan losses. These agencies may require additional provisions based upon their judgment about information available to them at the time of their examination. Although management uses what it believes to be the best information available, the level of the allowance for loan losses remains an estimate which is subject to significant judgment and short term change.

The following table sets forth a summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated:

	For the three months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Balance at the beginning of period	\$ 3,330	\$ 2,795
Charge-offs:		
Commercial Mortgage	—	72
Other consumer loans	24	75
Total loans charged off	24	147
Recoveries:		
Commercial and Industrial	—	17
Home equity lines of credit	—	5
Other consumer	16	3
Total recoveries	16	25
Net loans charged off	8	122
Provision charged to operations	10	110
Balance at end of period	\$ 3,332	\$ 2,783
Average loans (1)	\$ 487,127	\$ 407,491
Ratio of net charge-offs (recoveries) during period to average loans outstanding during period (annualized) (1)	0.01%	0.12%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	0.68%	0.68%

(1) Includes non-accrual loans

The allowance for loan losses was \$3.3 million at both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, which represented 0.68% of total loans outstanding at both respective dates. Also, as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the total specific credit market valuation adjustment related to acquired loans totaled \$362 thousand as of each of these dates, which when combined with the allowance for loan losses of \$3.3 million for each respective period, or a total of \$3.7 million, would equate to 0.76% of total loans outstanding as of each of these dates. Net charge-offs recorded totaled \$8 thousand and \$122 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

The following table sets forth the allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category. The specific allocations in any particular category may be reallocated in the future to reflect the then current conditions. Accordingly, management considers the entire allowance to be available to absorb losses in any category.

	March 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Amount	Percent of total loans (1)	Amount	Percent of total loans (1)
(In thousands except percentage data)				
Commercial and Industrial	\$ 658	19%	\$ 647	18%
Commercial Mortgage	1,108	46%	1,051	46%
Commercial Construction	125	5%	113	5%
Residential Mortgage Loans	450	23%	452	23%
Home Equity Lines of Credit	165	4%	188	5%
Other Consumer Loans	89	3%	97	3%
Total Allocated	2,595	100%	2,548	100%
Unallocated	737		782	
TOTAL	\$ 3,332		\$ 3,330	

(1) Represents loans outstanding in each category, as of the date shown, as a percentage of total loans outstanding.

A specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses is established for loans that are classified as impaired or are performing troubled debt restructurings when the discounted cash flows or related collateral value of each loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan.

A specific allocation of \$47 thousand has been provided on impaired loans of \$1.3 million at March 31, 2017 compared to a specific allocation of \$47 thousand related to \$1.4 million of impaired loans at December 31, 2016.

The general allocation component of the allowance for loan losses relates to reserves established for pools of homogenous loans which includes both a qualitative and quantitative analysis. The qualitative analysis utilizes a risk matrix that incorporates qualitative and environmental factors such as: loan volume, management, loan review process, internal policies and procedures, economic environment, credit concentrations, credit quality trends, and regulatory and other external factors. These factors are each risk rated using five levels from weak to strong which could create a total qualitative factor of up to 65 basis points of gross loans, depending on individual ratings applied by management based on the assessment of the portfolio. The quantitative analysis uses a historical four year rolling average loan loss experience factor which management believes is a sufficient period to properly represent swings resulting from changing economic cycles, and therefore, reflects an appropriate period of loss history for calculating the general reserve in the current environment. The cumulative results from the qualitative and quantitative analysis of the loan portfolio resulted in a general allocation portion of the allowance for loan losses totaling \$2.6 million and \$2.5 million as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable loss. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio. The unallocated component remained relatively flat when comparing the first quarter of 2017 to the year ended December 31, 2016.

These allocations could change based on general economic or environmental factors or due to a specific credit situation which could develop within the loan portfolio. However, based on all relevant information currently available as of March 31, 2017, management believes that the allowance for loan losses of \$3.3 million is adequate as of that date and the allocations described above are appropriate.

Loan Concentrations

The Company's loans consist of credits to borrowers spread over a broad range of industrial classifications. The largest concentrations of loans are to lessors of nonresidential buildings and lessors of residential buildings and dwellings. As of March 31, 2017, these loans totaled \$82.6 million and \$66.5 million, respectively, or 16.9% and 13.6%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. As of December 31, 2016, these same classifications of loans totaled \$80.9 million and \$67.7 million, respectively, or 16.6% and 13.9%, respectively, of the total loans outstanding. These credits were subject to normal underwriting standards and did not present more than the normal amount of risk assumed by the Company's other lending activities. Management believes this concentration does not pose abnormal risk when compared to the risk it assumes in other types of lending. The Company has no other concentration of loans which exceeds 10% of total loans.

Deposits

Deposits represent the primary source of funding for earning assets. Deposits totaled \$468.0 million at March 31, 2017 compared to \$467.7 million at December 31, 2016, representing an increase of \$283 thousand, or 0.1%. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, demand deposits increased \$426 thousand, or 0.4%, while money market deposit and savings deposits combined for a decrease of \$1.6 million, or 1.4%, which represented a total decrease in non-time deposits of \$1.2 million, or 0.5%. Total time deposits increased \$1.4 million when comparing these same periods as retail time deposits increased \$23.1 million, offset by a decrease in brokered time deposits of \$21.7 million.

First Priority attracts deposits by offering competitive products and interest rates on a broad spectrum of deposit products to customers in its local marketplace, generally through its retail branch system, and also through its internet banking platform. The Bank supplements deposits raised locally with the issuance of brokered deposits when cost effective relative to local market pricing. At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, brokered deposits totaled \$117.0 million and \$138.8 million, respectively. The guidelines governing the Bank's participation in the brokered CD market are included in the Bank's Asset Liability Management Policy, which is reviewed, revised and approved annually by the asset liability management committee and the board of directors. The FDIC places restrictions on a depository institution's use of brokered deposits based on the bank's capital classification. A well-capitalized institution may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions. An adequately capitalized institution must obtain a waiver from the FDIC in order to accept brokered deposits, while an undercapitalized institution is prohibited by the FDIC from accepting brokered deposits. The Bank is classified as well-capitalized under the prompt corrective action provisions (see "Regulatory Matters" of the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements) and, therefore, may accept brokered deposits without FDIC restrictions.

The following table sets forth the average balance of deposits and the average rates paid on deposits for the periods presented.

	For the three months ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	Average Balance	Rate	Average Balance	Rate
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Demand, non-interest bearing	\$ 60,768		\$ 49,100	
Demand, interest-bearing	53,567	0.42%	40,907	0.25%
Money market and savings deposits	114,782	0.59%	92,565	0.44%
Time deposits	238,733	1.32%	226,160	1.28%
Total interest-bearing deposits	407,082	1.00%	359,632	0.95%
Total deposits	<u>\$ 467,850</u>		<u>\$ 408,732</u>	

The maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more as of March 31, 2017, is as follows:

	March 31, 2017	
Three Months or Less	\$	2,396
Over Three Through Six Months		3,519
Over Six Through Twelve Months		11,916
Over Twelve Months		41,209
TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	<u>59,040</u>

Short-Term Borrowed Funds

At March 31, 2017, First Priority had short-term borrowings totaling \$62.4 million, compared to \$56.2 million at December 31, 2016, an increase of \$6.2 million, or 9.1%, between the periods. Short-term borrowings consist of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity of one year or less as of issuance date and provide a short-term funding source related to all other balance sheet changes. Advances from the FHLB at March 31, 2017 are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of the Bank's investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within the Bank's loan portfolio.

The following table outlines First Priority's various sources of short-term borrowed funds at or for each of the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016. The maximum balance represents the highest indebtedness for each category of short-term borrowed funds at any month-end during each of the periods shown.

	For the three months ended March 31,			
	2017		2016	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Federal funds purchased:				
Balance at period end	\$	—	\$	—
Weighted average rate at period end		—		—
Maximum month-end balance	\$	—	\$	—
Average daily balance during the period	\$	—	\$	7
Weighted average rate during the period		—		0.76%
FHLB short-term borrowings:				
Balance at period end	\$	62,364	\$	29,875
Weighted average rate at period end		0.99%		0.49%
Maximum month-end balance	\$	62,364	\$	29,875
Average daily balance during the period	\$	25,753	\$	16,222
Weighted average rate during the period		0.91%		0.61%

Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt totaled \$12.0 million as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. These borrowings consisted of advances from the FHLB with an original maturity in excess of one year and carry a weighted average interest rate of 1.17% as of both March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, and an average remaining life of 1.0 years and 1.2 years, respectively. Advances from the FHLB are collateralized by an investment in the common stock of the FHLB, by a specific pledge of the Bank's investment assets and by a blanket lien on qualifying mortgages within the Bank's loan portfolio. Balances of FHLB long-term debt averaged \$12.0 million and

\$15.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, with an average rate of 1.19% and 1.09% for each of these respective periods. The maximum month-end balance of these borrowings was \$12.0 million and \$15.0 million for the first three months of 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Subordinated Debt

On November 13, 2015, the Bank entered into Subordinated Note Purchase Agreements with five accredited investors under which the Bank issued subordinated notes (the “Notes”) totaling \$9.5 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$9.2 million after issuance costs. The Notes have a maturity date of November 30, 2025, and bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.00% per annum. The Notes are non-callable for an initial period of five years and include provisions for redemption pricing between 101.5% and 100.5% of the liquidation value, if called after five years but prior to the maturity date. In December 2015, the Bank distributed \$6.0 million of the proceeds from the subordinated note issuance to First Priority, and subsequently, in January 2016, First Priority utilized the distribution from the Bank to redeem 6,000 of the 9,404 outstanding shares of its 9.00% Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, at their liquidation value of \$1,000 per share, or \$6.0 million, plus accrued dividends. The Bank utilized the remainder of the issuance for general corporate purposes.

Capital Resources

Shareholders’ equity at March 31, 2017 was \$48.9 million, representing an increase of \$870 thousand from \$48.0 million at December 31, 2016. Increases in equity related to net income of \$782 thousand, stock based compensation costs of \$55 thousand and a positive impact from market volatility related to the investment securities portfolio resulting in a net change in net unrealized gains (losses) totaling \$110 thousand, were partially offset by preferred dividends paid of \$77 thousand.

As noted above, in January 2016, First Priority utilized \$6 million of the proceeds received from the issuance of Tier 2 qualifying subordinated debt to redeem 6,000 shares of the Company’s outstanding preferred stock. This redemption consisted of all outstanding shares of Series A and Series B, and 1,192 shares of the Series C preferred stock, which were redeemed on a pro rata basis, which subsequently leaves 3,404 shares of Series C outstanding.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank’s financial condition and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank’s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank’s capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

The Bank exceeds the minimum capital requirements established by regulatory agencies. Under the capital adequacy guidelines, capital is classified into two tiers. These guidelines require an institution to maintain a certain level of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders' equity and qualifying preferred stock, excluding the unrealized gain or loss on securities available for sale, minus certain intangible assets plus trust preferred securities up to 25% of Tier 1 capital, with the excess being treated as Tier 2 capital. Tier 2 capital also consists of the allowance for loan losses, subject to certain limitations, and qualifying subordinated debt. In determining the amount of risk-weighted assets, all assets, including certain off balance sheet assets, are multiplied by a risk-weight factor of 0% to 100% based on the risks believed inherent in the type of asset.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets and of Tier 1 capital to average assets, known as the Tier 1 leverage ratio. The following table sets forth the capital ratios for both First Priority and the Bank at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016. First Priority currently meets the definition of a "small bank holding company" under the FRB's regulations, and thus is not subject to any capital requirements; however, the Company meets the holding company regulatory requirements for "well-capitalized" for each stated period. The Bank was considered "well-capitalized" and met or exceeded its applicable regulatory requirements for both periods.

	First Priority Financial Corp.		For Capital Adequacy Purposes	For Capital Adequacy with Capital Buffer (2017)	First Priority Bank		
	As of March 31, 2017	As of December 31, 2016			To Be Considered "Well-Capitalized"	As of March 31, 2017	As of December 31, 2016
Total risk-based capital	12.28%	12.11%	8.00%	9.25%	10.00%	12.23%	12.07%
Tier 1 risk-based capital	9.59%	9.40%	6.00%	7.25%	8.00%	9.54%	9.35%
Tier 1 common equity capital	8.91%	8.80%	4.50%	5.75%	6.50%	9.54%	9.35%
Tier 1 leverage capital	7.97%	7.92%	4.00%	N/A	5.00%	7.92%	7.87%

The issuance of the qualifying subordinated debt totaling \$9.5 million in November 2015 referenced above is included as a component of Tier 2 capital in the ratios shown above for both the Company and the Bank.

The capital ratios above reflect the new capital requirements under "Basel III" effective during the year ended December 31, 2016. As of March 31, 2017, the Bank and the Company were in compliance with the new requirements. See Note 10 - Regulatory Matters for additional discussion regarding regulatory capital requirements.

Return on Average Equity and Assets

The following table shows the return on average assets (net income divided by total average assets), return on equity (net income divided by average equity), and the equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by total average assets) for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016.

	At or for the nine months ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Return on average assets.....	0.56%	0.43%
Return on average equity.....	6.53%	4.44%
Average equity to average assets ratio.....	8.59%	9.64%

Effect of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into effect in First Priority's consolidated financial statements. Rather, the statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unlike most industrial companies, the assets and liabilities of financial institutions, such as First Priority and the Bank, are primarily monetary in nature. Therefore, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on its performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. In addition, interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude. First Priority seeks to manage the relationships between interest-sensitive assets and liabilities in order to protect against wide interest rate fluctuations, including those resulting from inflation.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through the operations of the Bank, First Priority has made contractual commitments to extend credit, in the ordinary course of its business activities, to meet the financing needs of customers. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheets. These commitments are legally binding agreements to lend money at predetermined interest rates for a specified period of time and generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The same credit and collateral policies are used in making these commitments as for on-balance sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis and collateral is obtained, if necessary, based on the credit evaluation of the borrower. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include personal or commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, outstanding commitments to extend credit consisting of total unfunded commitments under lines of credit were \$107.8 million and \$98.2 million, respectively. In addition, as of each of these dates, the Company maintained \$982 thousand and \$958 thousand of performance standby letters of credit outstanding, respectively, and \$1.7 million of financial standby letters of credit outstanding as of each respective date, on behalf of its customers.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Company also has deposit letters of credit totaling \$16.0 million as of each date, issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh ("FHLB"), as required to provide collateral on certain municipal deposits maintained at the Bank. These deposit letters of credit are secured by a blanket lien on selected mortgage loans within First Priority Bank's portfolio.

First Priority is not involved in any other off-balance sheet contractual relationships, unconsolidated related entities that have off-balance sheet arrangements or transactions that could result in liquidity needs or other commitments that could significantly impact earnings. First Priority believes that it has adequate sources of liquidity to fund commitments that may be drawn upon by borrowers.

Liquidity

The objective of liquidity management is to assure that sufficient sources of funds are available, as needed and at a reasonable cost, to meet the ongoing and unexpected operational cash needs and commitments of First Priority and to take advantage of income producing opportunities as they arise. Sufficient liquidity must be available to meet the cash requirements of depositors wanting to withdraw funds and of borrowers wanting their credit needs met. Additionally, liquidity is needed to insure that First Priority has the ability to act at those times when profitable new lending and investment opportunities arise. While the desired level of liquidity may vary depending upon a variety of factors, it is a primary goal of First Priority to maintain adequate liquidity in all economic environments through active balance sheet management.

Liquidity management is the ongoing process of monitoring and managing First Priority's sources and uses of funds. The primary sources of funds are deposits, scheduled amortization of loans outstanding, maturities and cash flow generated from the investment portfolio and funds provided by operations. Scheduled loan payments and investment maturities are relatively predictable sources of funds; however, deposit flows and loan prepayments are far less predictable and are influenced by the level of interest rates, economic conditions, local competition and customer preferences. Liquidity is also provided by unused lines of credit with correspondent banks and First Priority's borrowing capacity at the FHLB. First Priority measures and monitors its liquidity position on an ongoing basis in order to better understand, predict and respond to balance sheet trends, unused borrowing capacity and liquidity needs. The liquidity position is managed on a daily basis as part of the daily settlement function and on an ongoing basis through the asset liability management function.

The key elements of First Priority's liquidity planning process involve a primary focus on the development of a stable, core funding base; utilization of wholesale funding sources to supplement core funding; maintenance of an appropriate level of asset liquidity; management of the maturity structure of funding sources and of funding concentrations; and maintenance of borrowing facilities.

Wholesale funding sources utilized by the Bank include brokered certificates of deposits, secured advances from the FHLB, federal funds purchased and other secured borrowing facilities. At March 31, 2017, wholesale funding sources totaled \$191.4 million and were comprised of \$117.0 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$74.4 million of FHLB advances. At December 31, 2016, wholesale funding sources totaled \$207.0 million and were comprised of \$138.8 million of brokered certificates of deposit and \$68.2 million of FHLB advances. Wholesale funding is generally used in managing the daily liquidity needs and when it is the most cost effective funding source available to First Priority. Management continually evaluates all available funding sources for cost and availability.

An integral part of the Bank's balance sheet management strategy is to establish and maintain borrowing facilities with correspondent banks for access to funding. Off balance sheet borrowing capacity provides the immediate availability of funds to meet short-term financing needs without requiring the bank to maintain excess liquidity in its investment portfolio, which may have a negative impact on earnings. In today's environment of historically low interest rates, it also provides effective longer term funding, in terms of the cost and structure. Long term borrowings from the FHLB cannot be called prior to maturity, which provides much greater protection against a rise in interest rates when compared to retail deposits which can be redeemed early by the depositor at lower than market rate penalties.

As of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Bank had a borrowing facility with a correspondent bank totaling \$10 million, available for short-term limited purpose usage, of which \$2 million is available unsecured. The remaining \$8 million is a secured line of credit.

At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, the Bank had a total borrowing capacity with the FHLB of \$208 million and \$206 million, respectively, with advances and letters of credit outstanding of \$90.4 million and \$84.2 million, respectively. Short-term liquid assets at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016 totaled \$39.3 million and \$42.0 million, respectively, and were comprised of \$39.3 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of interest bearing deposits held at correspondent banks and, as of December 31, 2016, \$40 million of investment securities due within 30 days.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

It is the responsibility of the board of directors and senior management to understand and control the interest rate risk exposures assumed by First Priority. The board has delegated authority to the asset liability management committee ("ALCO") for the development of ALCO policies and for the management of the asset liability management function. The ALCO committee is comprised of senior management representing all primary functions of First Priority and meets monthly. ALCO has the responsibility for maintaining a level of interest rate risk exposures within board of director approved limits.

The primary objective of asset liability management is to optimize net interest income over time while maintaining a balance sheet mix that is prudent with respect to liquidity, capital adequacy and interest rate risk. The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on the profitability of First Priority. Interest rate risk management is the process of identifying and controlling the potential adverse impact of interest rates movements on First Priority's net interest income and on the fair value of its assets and liabilities.

One tool used to monitor interest rate risk is the measurement of its interest sensitivity "gap," which is the positive or negative dollar difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that are subject to interest rate repricing within a given period of time. Interest rate sensitivity can be managed by changing the mix, pricing and repricing characteristics of First Priority's assets and liabilities, through management of its investment portfolio, loan and deposit product offerings, and through wholesale funding. Managing the amount of assets and liabilities repricing in the same time interval helps to hedge interest rate risk and minimize the impact on net interest income of rising or falling interest rates. First Priority generally would benefit from increasing market rates of interest when it has an asset-sensitive gap position and generally would benefit from decreasing market rates of interest when First Priority is liability-sensitive.

At March 31, 2017, First Priority was moderately liability sensitive at the one-year gap position, as it has more liabilities subject to repricing in the subsequent twelve month period than assets. It must be noted, however, that the gap analysis is not a precise indicator of First Priority's exposure to changing interest rates. The analysis presents only a static view of the timing of maturities and repricing opportunities, without taking into consideration that changes in interest rates do not affect all assets and liabilities equally. Furthermore, the results are influenced by management assumptions concerning the repricing characteristics of deposit products with no contractual maturities, the timing of the repricing of variable rate loans with interest rates currently fixed at interest rate floors, and prepayment speeds of loans and investments subject to prepayment prior to maturity. Additionally, net interest income performance may be impacted by other significant factors in a given interest rate environment, including changes in the volume and mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Risk identification and management are essential elements for the successful management of First Priority. In the normal course of business, First Priority is subject to various types of risk, including interest rate, credit, and liquidity risk. First Priority controls and monitors these risks with policies, procedures, and various levels of managerial and board oversight. First Priority's objective is to optimize profitability while managing and controlling risk within board approved policy limits. Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of net interest income and the market value of financial instruments to the magnitude, direction, and frequency of changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk results from various repricing frequencies and the maturity structure of assets and liabilities. First Priority uses its asset liability management policy to control and manage interest rate risk.

Liquidity risk represents the inability to generate cash or otherwise obtain funds at reasonable rates to satisfy commitments to borrowers, as well as, the obligations to depositors and debt holders. First Priority uses its asset liability management policy and contingency funding plan to control and manage liquidity risk.

Credit risk represents the possibility that a customer may not perform in accordance with contractual terms. Credit risk results from extending credit to customers, purchasing securities, and entering into certain off-balance sheet loan funding commitments. First Priority's primary credit risk occurs in the loan portfolio. First Priority uses its credit policy and disciplined approach to evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses to control and manage credit risk. First Priority's investment policy limits the degree of the amount of credit risk that may be assumed in the investment portfolio. First Priority's principal financial market risks are liquidity risks and exposures to interest rate movements.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, these disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during the fiscal period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

A certain amount of litigation arises in the ordinary course of the business of First Priority and the Bank. In the opinion of the management of First Priority, there are no proceedings pending to which First Priority or the Bank is a party or to which their property is subject, that, if determined adversely to them, would be material in relation to First Priority's shareholders' equity or financial condition, nor are there any proceedings pending other than ordinary routine litigation incident to the business of First Priority and the Bank. In addition, no material proceedings are pending or are known to be threatened or contemplated against First Priority or the Bank by governmental authorities.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Not Applicable

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Title
-------------	-------

- | | |
|---------|---|
| 3.1(i) | Articles of Incorporation of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to First Priority's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on May 16, 2016) |
| 3.1(ii) | Certificate of Designations for the "Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C" of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to First Priority's Registration Statement No. 333-183118 on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on January 25, 2013) |
| 3.2 | Bylaws of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to First Priority's Registration Statement No. 333-147950 on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on December 7, 2007) |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101 | Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements. |

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST PRIORITY FINANCIAL CORP.
(Registrant)

Dated: May 12, 2017

By /s/ David E. Sparks
David E. Sparks,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: May 12, 2017

By /s/ Mark J. Myers
Mark J. Myers,
Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Title
3.1(i)	Articles of Incorporation of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to First Priority's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on May 16, 2016)
3.1(ii)	Certificate of Designations for the "Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C" of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to First Priority's Registration Statement No. 333-183118 on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on January 25, 2013)
3.2	Bylaws of First Priority Financial Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to First Priority's Registration Statement No. 333-147950 on Form S-4 filed with the SEC on December 7, 2007)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer in accordance with Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, and (v) the Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer**CERTIFICATION**

I, David E. Sparks, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Priority Financial Corp., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of First Priority Financial Corp., Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: May 12, 2017

/s/ David E. Sparks

David E. Sparks,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer**CERTIFICATION**

I, Mark J. Myers, Chief Financial Officer of First Priority Financial Corp., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of First Priority Financial Corp.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: May 12, 2017

/s/ Mark J. Myers

Mark J. Myers,
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of First Priority Financial Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, David E. Sparks, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: May 12, 2017

/s/ David E. Sparks

David E. Sparks,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of First Priority Financial Corp. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Mark J. Myers, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: May 12, 2017

/s/ Mark J. Myers

Mark J. Myers,
Chief Financial Officer